

5440 Routes 5 & 20 West Canandaigua, NY 14424

PLANNING BOARD

Tuesday, February 25, 2014, 6:30 p.m.

MEETING AGENDA

MEETING CALLED BY: Thomas Schwartz

BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Gentry, Daniel O'Bine, Charles Oyler, Ryan Staychock

SECRETARY: Kathy Gingerich

STAFF MEMBERS: Lance Brabant, MRB Group

Christian Nadler, Planning Board Attorney

Pledge of Allegiance

Introduction of Board Members and Staff Overview of Emergency Evacuation Procedure Attest to the Publishing of Legal Notices Privilege of the Floor

SKETCH PLANS: *None at this time*

CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS:

CPN-096-13 James Mueller, representing the Cheshire Volunteer Fire Department, owner of property CPN-097-13 at 5439 Routes 5 & 20, TM#83.00-1-19.000, is requesting special use permit approval

and one stage site plan approval to construct a fire house in the AR-2 zoning district.

NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS:

CPN-003-14 BME Associates, representing Riedman-Wegman Canandaigua LLC, owner of property

on Hammocks Drive, TM# 83.00-1-7.100, is requesting single stage subdivision approval

for a 2-lot subdivision in the MR zoning district. (Hammocks – Phase I & II)

CPN-009-14 Patrick & Laurie Baxter, owners of property at 2265 County Road 28, TM# 57.00-1-

77.120, are requesting special use permit renewal for a temporary use (processing

firewood) in the R-1-30 zoning district.

CLOSED PUBLIC HEARINGS: None at this time

FINAL SUBDIVISIONS: None at this time

CONTINUED PRELIMINARY (PHASED) SITE PLANS:

None at this time

NEW PRELIMINARY (PHASED) SITE PLANS: *None at this time*

CONTINUED FINAL (PHASED) / ONE-STAGE SITE PLANS: None at this time

NEW FINAL (PHASED) / ONE-STAGE SITE PLANS:

CPN-006-14 Venezia Associates, representing Philip & Amanda Goliber, owners of property at 3414 Poplar Beach Road, TM# 98.15-1-7.100, is requesting one stage site plan approval to tear down a rebuild a single-family dwelling in the RLD zoning district.

BOARD BUSINESS

- □ Approval of February 11, 2014 meeting minutes
- □ Referrals from Town Board: None at this time
- □ Recommendations to Zoning Board of Appeals: None at this time
 □ Recommendations to the Code Enforcement Officer: None at this time
- □ Resubdivision / Annexations: None at this time
 □ Letter of Credit/Bond Releases: None at this time
- □ Comprehensive Plan General Discussion
- □ Other Business as Required:
 - ➤ CPN-017-12, Venezia Associates for Property Development of WNY Inc., TM#97.04-1-9.211, Discussion on the proposed revised final subdivision plans for Phase 5B (Fox Ridge)

STAFF REPORTS:

- □ Town Consulting Engineer
- □ Planning Board Attorney
- □ Director of Development
- □ Board Member Reports
- □ Topics

UPCOMING APPLICATIONS

ADJOURNMENT

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT & SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL SEOR – DESGINATING LEAD AGENCY

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering a Special Use Permit and Single-Stage Site Plan approval for the construction of a 7,900 sf fire station including nine (9) parking spaces, stormwater management areas, utility improvements, an entrance off NYS Route 5 & 20 in the AR-2 zoning district and as described in the Site Plans dated November 12, 2013 and last revised February 12, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of February 25, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has determined the proposed Special Use Permit and Single-Stage Site Plan Application (CPN 096-13 & 097-13) referenced above to be a Type 1 Action under Part 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has on Tuesday, January 14, 2014 declared its intent to be designated the Lead Agency for the proposed Action under the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has provided written notices to this effect to the involved and interested agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has not received any written objections from the involved agencies to the Board's being designated as the lead agency under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has previously determined that it is the most appropriate agency to insure the coordination of this Action and for making the determination of significance thereon under the SEQR Regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board does hereby designate itself as the lead agency for the Action identified above herein;

| The above Resolution was offer scheduled Planning Board Mee vote was recorded: | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------|---|--|
| Richard Gentry - Charles Oyler - Dan O'Bine - Ryan Staychock - Thomas Schwartz - | | | | |
| I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretar resolution being acted upon and Board for the February 25, 2014 | l recorded in the | <u>-</u> | - | |
| | L. S. | | | |

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT & SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL SEQR – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) has determined the proposed Special Use Permit and Single-Stage Site Plan Application (CPN 096-13 & 097-13) referenced above, hereinafter referred to as Action, to be a Type 1 Action under Part 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has completed the public review and comment period provided for under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has designated itself as lead agency under the SEQR Regulations for making the determination of significance upon said action; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Action involves the construction of a 7,900 sf fire station including nine (9) parking spaces, stormwater management areas, utility improvements, an entrance off NYS Route 5 & 20 in the AR-2 zoning district in the Town of Canandaigua; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has given consideration to the criteria for determining significance as set forth in Section 617.7 (c) (1) of the SEQR Regulations and the information contained in Part I of the Full Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) submitted with this application; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has completed Part 2 and Part 3 of the Full EAF.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board has reasonably concluded the following impacts are expected to result from the proposed Action, when compared against the criteria in Section 617.7 (c):

- (i) there will not be a substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
- (ii) there will not be large quantities of vegetation or fauna removed from the site or destroyed as the result of the proposed Action; there will not be substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species as the result of the proposed Action; there will not be a significant impact upon habitat areas on the site; there are no known threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or, are there any other significant adverse impacts to natural resources on the site;
- (iii) there are no known Critical Environmental Area(s) on the site which will be impaired as the result of the proposed Action;
- (iv) the overall density of the site is consistent with the Town's Comprehensive Plan land use recommendations;

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT & SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL SEQR – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

- (v) the site is within an identified archaeological sensitive area and the applicant is working with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to resolve these potential impacts;
- (vi) there will <u>not</u> be an increase in the use of either the quantity or type of energy resulting from the proposed Action;
- (vii) there will <u>not</u> be any hazard created to human health;
- (viii) there will <u>not</u> be a change in the use of active agricultural lands that receive an agricultural use tax exemption or that will ultimately result in the loss of ten acres of such productive farmland;
- (ix) there will <u>not</u> be a larger number of persons attracted to the site for more than a few days when compared to the number of persons who would come to the site absent the Action;
- (x) there will <u>not</u> be created a material demand for other Actions that would result in one of the above consequences;
- (xi) there will <u>not</u> be changes in two or more of the elements of the environment that when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact; and
- (xii) there are <u>not</u> two or more related Actions which would have a significant impact on the environment.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT based upon the information and analysis above and the supporting documentation referenced above, the proposed Action **WILL NOT** result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED THAT the Planning Board does hereby make a Determination of Non-Significance upon said Action and directs the Planning Board Chairman to sign and date the Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3, issue the Negative Declaration Form, to file notice thereof in the State Environmental Notice Bulletin and to file copies thereof as provided for under the SEQR Regulations.

| The above Resolution was offered by | and seconded by | at a |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| regular scheduled Planning Board Meeting held | d on February 25, 2014. Following | discussion, a |
| voice vote was recorded: | | |

- Richard Gentry -
- Charles Ovler -
 - Dan O'Bine -
- Ryan Staychock -

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT & SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL SEQR – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

Thomas Schwartz -

I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board, do hereby attest to the accuracy of the above resolution being acted upon and recorded in the minutes of the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board for the February 25, 2014 meeting.

Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board

| | Full Environmental A Part 1 - Project a | | N OF CANANDAIGUA F ELOPMENT OFFICE O |
|----|--|--|---|
| (| Instructions for Completing Part 1 | ina setting E C E | DEC 6 2013 R |
| (| Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Response are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. | onses become part of the applic | cation for approval or funding, |
| | Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current inform is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, general update or fully develop that information. | research or investigation would | |
| | Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sec must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Sec additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the Part 1 is accurate and complete. | tion is "Yes", complete the sub | o-questions that follow. If the |
| | A. Project and Sponsor Information. | | |
| Γ | Name of Action or Project: | | |
| | Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map): | TOTION HOUSE NO. Z | |
| | 5439 ST. RTE. 5+20, Conondalyua | • | |
| | Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need): | S. 14 | |
| 1 | | | |
| | Construct 2nd fire House | | |
| |) | | |
| 1 | , | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| N | Jame of Applicant/Sponsor: | | |
| • | | Telephone: 525. | 394-1133 |
| _ | CHESHIER VOLUMERS FIRE DEPT | Li-iviaii. | eschotmail.com |
| Α | 42.25 St. Rt. 21 | A STATE OF THE STA | as a representation of |
| | ity/PO: | State: | Zip Code: |
| D | roject Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role): | OF. | 14424 |
| Г | roject Contact (it not same as sponsor; give name and fitle/role): | Telephone: 525.4 | 173.45720 |
| | TIMOTHY TORINGED ARCHITECT | E-Mail: | frontiernet net |
| A | ddress: | THORESEC | -tontiernet net |
| ~ | 371 Winten Rd. So. | | |
| C | ity/PO: | State: | Zip Code: |
| Pr | operty Owner (if not same as sponsor): | חץ | 14610 |
| | <u> </u> | Telephone: | |
| A | ddress; | E-Mail: | |
| | | | |
| §i | ty/PO: | State: | Zip Code: |
| | The state of the s | | |

B. Government Approvals

| Government | Entity | If Yes: Identify Agency and Appr Required | oval(s) | Applica (Actual or | | |
|--|--|---|-------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------------|
| a. City Council, Town Boar or Village Board of Trust | | | | | projec | |
| b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Comm | ☑Yes□No ission | Site Plan, Sp. Use Permit | | | | |
| c. City Council, Town or Village Zoning Board of | □Yes□No Appeals | 300 | | | | |
| d. Other local agencies | □Yes□No | | | | | |
| e. County agencies | □Yes□No | | | 1911 | | |
| . Regional agencies | □Yes□No | , DOT - Access / Utility Crossing | | | | |
| g. State agencies | Y Yes□No | DOH/DEC . SEPTIE SYSTEM | | | | 100 |
| . Federal agencies | □Yes□No | a latmay | | | | |
| i i es, | | the waterfront area of a Designated In | | | □Ye | es 🗷 No |
| ii. Is the project site locate | ed in a community value of a Coastal Erosion | with an approved Local Waterfront Re Hazard Area? | vitalizatio | n Program? | | s⊠No s□No |
| Planning and Zoning | | | | | | |
| .1. Planning and zoning a | | | | | | |
| If Yes, complete sec | be granted to enable tions C, F and G. | endment of a plan, local law, ordinance the proposed action to proceed? | | | □Ye | s No |
| 2. Adopted land use plans | | 8 south and descrip |) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - | |
| Do any municipally- adopte where the proposed action | ed (city, town, villa | ge or county) comprehensive land use | plan(s) in | clude the site | ⊠Ye. | s□No |
| Yes, does the comprehensivuld be located? | e plan include spec | ific recommendations for the site when | | | □Yes | ™No |
| Is the site of the proposed a Brownfield Opportunity Ar or other?) | ction within any loc rea (BOA); designat | al or regional special planning district ed State or Federal heritage area; wate | (for exan | nple: Greenway | Z Y | es□No |
| Yes, identify the plan(s): | nonbugys Lak | A Companies | | | | |
| | Manages Lan | _ (2)6 EU7#R) | - 311 | | | |
| Is the proposed action locat or an adopted municipal far es, identify the plan(s): | ed wholly or partial mland protection p | | nunicipal | open space plan, | ⊠Yes | □No |
| | 2 mg (573) 25 | | | | | |
| | | | | VN OF CANANG | AIGU | A F |
| | | | | VELOPMENT | | R |
| | | Page 2 of 13 | ECE | DEC 6 20 | 13 | R E |

| | 612 7 | |
|----|---|----------------------|
| | C.3. Zoning | |
| ار | a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? | ¥ Yes□No |
| 1 | | |
| 1 | b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit? | ¥ Yes□ No |
| 1 | c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| | If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site? | |
| ĺ | C.4. Existing community services. | |
| | a. In what school district is the project site located? | |
| | b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? | |
| | c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? | |
| t | d. What parks serve the project site? | |
| l | n/A- | |
| L | | |
| _ | D. Project Details | Y |
| _ | D.1. Proposed and Potential Development | |
| 8 | a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mix components)? | ed, include all |
| | Public Use/ Safery facility | |
| t | b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? | |
| | b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned | |
| | or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? | |
| c | . Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? | ☐ Yes⊠ No |
| | i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, mile square feet)? % | es, housing units, |
| d | square feet)? % Units: | Fly. Ph |
| 11 | f Yes, | □Yes ☑No |
| | i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types) | |
| 1 | ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? iii. Number of lots proposed? | ☐Yes ☐No |
| i | iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum Maximum | |
| e. | . Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? | ☐ Yes No |
| ii | i. If No, anticipated period of construction: i. If Yes: months | LI TOBELLO |
| | Total number of phases anticipated | |
| | Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) monthyear | |
| | Anticipated completion date of final phase Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progred | |
| | determine timing or duration of future phases: | ess of one phase may |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | TOWN OF CANAN | IDAIGUA E |
| | R DEVELOPMENT | U |
| | Pogo 2 of 12 C | |
| | I ago 5 of 15 | na R |
| | t DEC 6 20 |)13 E V |
| | Ē | Y |

| | If Yes, show numbers of units proposed | □Yes⊠No |
|---|--|---|
| | One Femilie. The Parks of | |
| J | Initial Phase | |
| |)t completion | |
| Ì | of all phases | |
| I | g. Does the proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)? If Yes, | ⊠ Yes□No |
| ı | 11 1 00, | |
| l | ii. Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure: 8-14. Theight. | |
| l | iii. Approximate extent of building space to be heated or cooled: 7.900 \$\frac{1}{2}\$ square feet | |
| ſ | h. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that will result in the impoundment of any liquids, such as creation of a water supply, reservoir, pond, lake, waste lagoon or other storage? | ☑ Yes No |
| l | 11 1 00, | |
| l | ii. If a water impoundment, the principal source of the water | |
| l | 0 60 | eams Cother specify |
| | iii. If other than water, identify the type of impounded/contained liquids and their source. | |
| | iv. Approximate size of the proposed impoundment volume: 0.252 million gallons: surface area | ' A.3.C goras |
| | v. Dimensions of the proposed dam or impounding structure: height; length | acies |
| | v. Construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, constructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, constructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, constructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, ear | oncrete): |
| | | |
| | | |
| | a. Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining, or dredging, during construction, operations, or bot | h? YesXNo |
| | (100 morading golden site proparation, grading or installation of utilities or foundations where all every test | |
| | Vf Yes: | Two Family Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) Two Family Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) |
| | So the numbers of units proposed. One Family Two Family Three Family Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) Multiple Family (four or more) Multiple Family (four or more) Three Family Three Family Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) Multiple Family (four or more) Three Family Three Family Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) Three Family Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) Three Family Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) Three Family Interest and Interest Family Interest Family (multiple Family) Three Family Three Family Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) Three Family Three Fa | |
| İ | ii. How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the site? | |
| | • Volume (specify tons or cubic yards): | |
| i | iii. Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be executed on desdeed and all the second of the second o | |
| | and plans to use, manage or dispo | Ans Family Two Family Three Family Multiple Family (four or more) consess proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)? In one (in feel) of largest proposed structure: \$\frac{\pi_n}{\pi_n} \frac{\pi_n}{\pi_n} |
| | If Yes, i. Purpose of the impoundment: SPERICLATE MANNS PALLET ii. If a water impoundment, the principal source of the water. Ground water Surface water streams Other specify Real Stream of the proposed impoundment Polytone Ground water Surface water streams Other specify Real Stream of the proposed impoundment Polytone Ground water Surface water streams Other specify Real Stream of the proposed dam or impounding structure: height length In Approximate size of the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, concrete): Cream of the proposed artion method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, concrete): Cream of the proposed action include any excavation, mining, or dredging, during construction, operations, or both? Yes | |
| | | Yes No |
| | | |
| | v. What is the total area to be dredged or excavated? | |
| 1 | vi. What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time? | |
| v | wii. What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging? | |
| b | x. Summarize site reclamation goals and plan- | ☐Yes ☐No |
| | | |
| | | |
| h | Would the proposed action cause or recent in alternation C | |
| | into any existing wetland, waterbody, shoreline, beach or adjacent area? | Yes X No |
| | f Yes: | Yes No Streams Other specify Yes Acres Concrete): Ooth? Yes No Spose of them. Yes No Yes No ANDAIGUA F JT OFFICE OR R 2013 R E |
| | i. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number, | Yes No |
| | description); | |
| | | |
| 7 | TOWN OF CANA | |
| 5 | | JUDAIGHA # 1 |
| 5 | | |
| 5 | R DEVELOPMENT | OFFICE O |
| 5 | R DEVELOPMENT | OFFICE O |
| 5 | Page 4 of 13 R DEVELOPMENT E C E DEC 6.2 | OFFICE O |
| 5 | Page 4 of 13 R DEVELOPMENT E C E I DEC 6 2 | OFFICE OR |
| 5 | Page 4 of 13 R DEVELOPMENT E C E I V DEC 6 2 | OFFICE OR |

| | alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, a | , e.g. exca lterations | vation, fill, 1 and addition | placement of s in square f | f structures, or eet or acres: |
|--------------|--|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 1 | | | | | |
| | Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe: | | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| iv. | Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquati If Yes: | c vegetati | on? | | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| | acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: | | | | |
| | expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project complete | ion: | | | |
| | purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species con | trol, boat | access): | | |
| | proposed method of plant removal: | | | | |
| | If Chemical/herbicide treatment will be used specify product(s). | | | | |
| v. I | Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance: | | | | |
| - | | | | | |
| If Ye | | | | | ⊠ Yes □No |
| <i>i</i> , 7 | otal anticipated water usage/demand per day: | ρa | llons/day | | |
| ii. \ | Vill the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? | | arono, uu y | | ĭ¥Yes □No |
| If Ye | s: Name of district or service area: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposed Is the project site in the existing district? | sal? | | | Yes No No No No No No No No No N |
| | Is expansion of the district needed? | | | | Yes No No Yes No No No No No No No No No N |
| | Do existing lines serve the project site? | | | | ☐ Yes 🗹 No |
| iii. V | /ill line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the proj | 40 | | | Yes No |
| \f Ye | s: | ect? | | | ☐Yes ⊠ No |
| <i>!</i> • | Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this proje | ct: | | | |
| • | Source(s) of supply for the district: | - | | | |
| iv. Is | a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve | the projec | ct site? | | ☐ Yes⊠No |
| If, Ye | S; | 1 3 | | | 10363140 |
| • | Applicant/sponsor for new district: | | | | |
| | Date application submitted or anticipated: | | | | |
| v If | Proposed source(s) of supply for new district: | | | | |
| V. 11 | a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water sup | ply for the | project: | | |
| vi. If | water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping cap | acity: | gallon | s/minute. | |
| l. Wil | the proposed action generate liquid wastes? | | | | ✓ Yes □No |
| f Yes | | 200 | | | |
| ii. Na | tal anticipated liquid waste generation per day: gallons ture of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; in provimete volumes or more time. | day | n | | |
| ap | proximate volumes or proportions of each): | r combina | ition, describ | e all compo | nents and |
| _ | T TOTAL CASTERNAL | ER / 1120 | JEK GJBSIF | | |
| 7 VX75 | I the proposed ection was one of the state o | | | | |
| ı. wı | I the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilitie es: | s? | | | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| • | Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: | | | | |
| • | Name of district: | | | | |
| • | Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the p | roject? | | | ☐Yes☐No |
| • | Is the project site in the existing district? | 3 | | | ☐Yes ☐No |
| • | Is expansion of the district needed? | | | | FIYES No |
| | | | WN OF C | ANANDAI | GUA F |
| | | 1 | EVELOPN | ENTOFF | |
| | Page 5 of 13 | E | | | ¬ R |
| | 1 age 2 Of 13 | C | | | R |
| | | | DEC | 6 2013 | |

ľý

V

| • Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? | | □Yes□No |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the pro- If Yes: | ject? | ☐Yes ☐No |
| Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this pro | *- a.t. | |
| —————————————————————————————————————— | Ject: | |
| iv Will a new wastewater (sowage) treatment it is a second | | |
| iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project If Yes: | site? | □Yes☑No |
| Applicant/sponsor for new district: | | |
| Date application submitted or anticipated: | | — We like |
| What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge | | |
| v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface) | nt for the project, including s | pecifying proposed |
| | | |
| | | |
| vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste: | | |
| | | |
| e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, | | |
| I TOWARD (114) GROUPS, DIDUS, SWAICS, CHIES OFFICE AT ATRACT ACCORDING TO A STATE OF THE | either from new point | |
| l source (no. sheet now) during construction or host construction? | orniwater) or non-point | |
| II Yes: | | |
| i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of pr Square feet or o.90 acres (impervious surface) | oject parcel? | |
| Square feet or A 400 acres (narcel size) | | |
| ii. Describe types of new point sources. | | |
| | | |
| iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)? | nt facility/structures, adjacent | properties, |
|) Sn-Site Stranger management for | 11.1.6- | |
| Jeneral 1s | CUTIES | |
| If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands: | | |
| | | |
| • Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? | Delinus of thees (Cape | ol Du Day |
| proposed plan mannize mipelylous surfaces, use pervious materials or college | of and re-mon atomics atom | Yes No |
| 1. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of oir | emissions, including fuel | □Yes⊠No |
| combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations? If Yes, identify: | 200 | |
| i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery | arali Sala A | |
| | | |
| ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, | batch plant, crushers) | |
| iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electri | | |
| | c generation) | ж. |
| g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Regis | | |
| or rederal Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit? | tration, Air Facility Permit, | □Yes⊠No |
| If Yes: | | |
| i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or | periodically fails to meet | □Yes□No |
| ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year) i. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate: | | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) | | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N2O) | | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) | | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF _c) | | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydrofle | ourocarbons (HFCs) | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) | | - Amount |
| | TOWN OF CANANE | COMPANY OF THE |
| Page 6 of 13 | R DEVELOPMENT O | - 1 |
| 3 | E C | |
| | E DEO CON | R |
| | T DEC 6 2013 | B E |
| 7 | | ve 8 959 |

| n. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? | Yes No |
|--|--|
| If Yes: | |
| i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): | |
| if. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to | conqueta hast or |
| electricity, flaring): | Remerate Heat of |
| i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as | ☐Yes No |
| quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust): | |
| j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial | |
| new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: | ☐Yes No |
| i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): | |
| Randomly between hours of to . ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of semi-trailer truck trips/day: | |
| iii. Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? | |
| v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing | |
| | |
| | |
| Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? | ☐Yes No |
| vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? vii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fields whiches? | ☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No |
| ii. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? iii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? | |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? | ☐Yes☐No |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? | ☐Yes☐No |
| Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: | ☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: | Yes No Yes No Yes No ∠In known deum |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/other): | Yes No Yes No Yes No ∠In known deum |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/other): | Yes No Yes No Yes No |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/other): Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation? | Yes No Yes No Yes No ∠In known deum |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/other): Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation? Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. | Yes No Yes No Yes No |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/other): Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation? Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction: ii. During Operations: | ☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No ☐In thocan deman ☐ocal utility, or ☐Yes☒No |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/other): Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation? Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. During Construction: Monday - Friday: Monday - Fr | Yes No Yes No Yes No Linkhown dentan local utility, or Yes No |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/other): Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation? Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. During Construction: Monday - Friday: | Yes No Yes No Yes No Linkhown dentan local utility, or Yes No |
| will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? iii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes: Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/other): Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation? Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction: Monday - Friday: | Yes No Yes No Yes No Linkhown dentan local utility, or Yes No |

| in. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? | ¥Yes□No |
|--|-------------------|
| If yes: | |
| i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: | |
| SHOET DURRION - EMERGENCY RESPONSE | |
| ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe: | ☐ Yes Z No |
| n Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? | ERV. CIV. |
| If yes: | ✓ Yes □No |
| i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures | : |
| i. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe: | □Yes ☑ No |
| Does the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action have the notential to produce odors for the proposed action to the propos | |
| If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| | |
| Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? Yes: | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| Product(s) to be stored | |
| Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year) | |
| Generally describe proposed storage facilities: | |
| | |
| Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| Yes: | |
| i. Describe proposed treatment(s): | |
| 1 - 1 | |
| | |
| | |
| Will the annual of the second | |
| Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices? | ☐ Yes ☐No |
| Vill the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal f solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? | ⊠ Yes □No |
| es: | |
| Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: | |
| | |
| • Operation: | |
| Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: | |
| Contractor to remove all before | |
| • Operation: | |
| roposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: | |
| • Construction: Courtiscipe to receive all befores | |
| Operation: Town (Ambfil) +for Casella (Ambfil) | No. |
| | |
| TOWN OF CANANE | AIGUA F |
| R DEVELOPMENTO | FFICE O |
| Page 8 of 13 | |

| II Yes: | | Control Control Control | Yes 🔀 No |
|--|--|--|------------------|
| i. Type of management or handling of waste propo | sed for the site (e.g., recycling | g or transfer station, composti | ng, landfill, or |
| other disposal activities): ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing: | | | |
| Tons/month, if transfer or other n | on-combustion/thermal treatm | aget or | |
| I ons/nour, if combustion or them | nal treatment | ient, or | |
| iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: | years | | |
| t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commer | cial generation, treatment, sto | rage, or disposal of hazardous | □Yes⊠No |
| waste? If Yes: | | • | |
| | he generated handled or may | naged at facility | |
| | Bonovatou, nanated of ma | naged at lacinty. | |
| ii Generally describe processes are estimisting | | | |
| ii. Generally describe processes of activities involving | g hazardous wastes or constit | uents: | |
| | | | |
| iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated | tons/month | ###################################### | |
| w. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, i | ement or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or activities): or of disposal/processing: Cons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or Tonshour, if combustion or thermal treatment in years on at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous Yes No azardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility: be processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents: to be handled or generated tons/month proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents: bus wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility? yes No and location of facility: sed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility: sed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility: of Proposed Action and surrounding the project site at occur on, adjoining and near the project site. trial Commercial Pacit Pac | | |
| | | | |
| | | | □Yes□No |
| 11 res. provide name and location of facility: | | | |
| If No: describe proposed management of any hazardou | s wastes which will not be se | nt to a hazardous waste facilit | v |
| | | 1 | ···· |
| | | | |
| E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action | | | |
| E 1 Land uses on and suprounding the project it | | | |
| | | | |
| Existing land uses. Check all uses that occur on adjoining and pear the control of the | ne project cite | | |
| 🔲 Urban 🔲 Industrial 🔲 Commercial 🗵 Res | idential (suburban) Rur | al (non-farm) | |
| ☐ Forest ☑ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☑ Oth ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: | er (specify): Town facili | 4-12-5 | |
| ii. It may of uses, generally describe: | | | |
| | | | |
| b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. | 1001 Val. 1001 Val. | | |
| Land use or | Current | Acregge After | Change |
| Covertype | | | |
| Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious | | | |
| surfaces • Forested | - 0 - | ه۹، ه | 6.90 |
| Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non- | | | |
| agricultural, including abandoned agricultural) | 3.62 | 7.78 | 0 |
| Agricultural | | | 0.10 |
| (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) | | | |
| Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) | | | |
| Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) | | | |
| Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill) | | | |
| Other | | | |
| Describe: | | | |
|) | | 1 | |
| | | TOWN OF CANA | NDAIGHA - |
| | | I R DEVELOPMENT | OFFICE O |
| | Page 9 of 13 | E C | R |
| | | C | |
| | | F DFC 6 2 | 013 R |
| | | | 1 51 |

| c. is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreationi. If Yes: explain: | | □Yes⊠N |
|--|---|---------------|
| I. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., so day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? f Yes, i. Identify Facilities: | hools, hospitals, licensed | □Yes⊠N |
| | | |
| Does the project site contain an existing dam? Yes: | | □Yes⊠N |
| i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment: | | |
| Dam height: Dam height: | | |
| • Dam langth: | | |
| 4 Surface areas | | |
| Volume impounded: | foot | |
| Dam's existing hazard classification: | -1661 | |
| i. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: | | |
| | | |
| las the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid wast r does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a so es: | te management facility, lid waste management facilit | ∐Yes⊠No y? |
| Has the facility been formally closed? | | □Yes□ N |
| If yes, cite sources/documentation: | | T 102 14 |
| Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste | management facility: | |
| Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: | | |
| | | |
| roperty which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or disples: Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate ti | | |
| otential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed proje | ct site, or have any | JYes⊠ No |
| es: | | |
| s any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environm Remediation database? Check all that apply: | | ⊒Yes□No |
| Yes – Spills Incidents database Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(Provide DEC ID number(| (s): | |
| site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: | | |
| | | |
| s the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remeds, provide DEC ID number(s): | iation database? | Yes Z No |
| yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s): | 312-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31- | |
| | | |
| <u> </u> | | DAIO114 |
| | TOWN OF CANAN | |
| | R DEVELOPMENT (| OFFICE (|
| | C | |
| Page 10 of 13 | l ĕ | |

| v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses? • If yes, DEC site ID number: | | □Yes⊠No |
|---|--|------------------|
| Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easer | nant): | |
| Describe any use limitations: | | |
| Describe any engineering controls: | | |
| Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place Explain: | ? | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| | | |
| | | |
| E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site | | |
| a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? | feet | |
| b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings? | % | Yes |
| c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: | | læ % |
| | | % % |
| d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: | 12. feet | |
| e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: | of site | |
| ☐ Moderately Well Drained: 100 % ☐ Poorly Drained % | of site of site | |
| f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 0-10%: | læ % of s | ite |
| ☐ 10-15%: ☐ 15% or greater | % of s | |
| g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? | | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| If Yes, describe: | | |
| | | |
| h. Surface water features.i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (inc ponds or lakes)? | luding streams, rivers, | □Yes⊠No |
| ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site? | | ☐ Yes 🗷 No |
| If Yes to either i or ii, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i. | | |
| iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site reg state or local agency? iv. For each identified regulated wetland and surteshad an attached and the continuous in the continuous continuous continuous. | | |
| iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide Streams: Name | the following inform Classification | nation: 1 |
| Lakes or Ponds: Name | Classification | |
| Wetlands: Name Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) | Approximate | Size |
| v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS waterbodies? | water quality-impaire | |
| If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired: | | |
| . Is the project site in a designated Floodway? | | □Yes⊠No |
| . Is the project site in the 100 year Floodplain? | | ☐Yes ✓ No |
| c. Is the project site in the 500 year Floodplain? | | ☐Yes No |
| . Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or f Yes: | | □Yes K No |
| i. Name of aquifer: | 7 | - |
| | TOWN O | F CANANDAIGUA F |
| | R DEVELO | PMENT OFFICE O |
| 'Page 11 of 13 | C | |
| | 1 🚊 1 | _ |

DEC 6 2013 | R |

| iii. Identity the predominant wildlife spec | cies that occupy or use the project si | ite: <u>n/A</u> | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|------------------|
| n. Does the project site contain a designat | ed significant natural community? | | □Yes⊠No |
| i. Describe the habitat/community (com | position, function, and basis for desi | ignation): | |
| ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation | | | |
| iii. Extent of community/habitat: | | | |
| Currently: Following completion of project | | acres | |
| • Gain or loss (indicate + or -): | as proposed: | acres | |
| o. Does project site contain any species of | plant or animal that is listed by the | federal government or NYS as | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| endangered or threatened, or does it con | cam any areas identified as habitat fo | or an endangered or threatened spe | ccies? |
| p. Does the project site contain any specie special concern? | s of plant or animal that is listed by | NYS as rare, or as a species of | □Yes☑No |
| | | | |
| I. Is the project site or adjoining area curre | ntly used for hunting, trapping, fishi | ing or shell fishing? | ☐Yes ☑No |
| f yes, give a brief description of how the p | roposed action may affect that use: | | |
| 2.3. Designated Public Resources On or | Nam Post of City | | |
| Is the project site, or any portion of it, loo | rated in a designated agricultural dis | antint contine 1 | |
| f Yes, provide county plus district name/n | o-AA, Section 303 and 304? umber: <u>החדאפוט להעחד</u> ן | | ∠ Yes ☐No |
| i. Are agricultural lands consisting of highlif. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s): | y productive soils present? | | □Yes No |
| Does the project site contain all or part o Natural Landmark? | f, or is it substantially contiguous to | , a registered National | □Yes⊠No |
| f Yes: i. Nature of the natural landmark: ii. Provide brief description of landmark, i | Biological Community Including values behind designation | and approximate size/extent: | |
| Is the project site located in or does it adjo | | | |
| i. CEA name: | | | □Yes☑No |
| ii. Basis for designation: | | | |
| ii. Designating agency and date: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | TOWN OF CANAN R DEVELOPMENT OF | |
| | | C | |
| | | DEC 6 20 | 13 R |
| | Page 12 of 13 | V DEC 0 20 | 13 E |

| which is listed on, or has been nominated State or National Register of Historic Pla | by the NYS Board of Historic Pres | archaeological site, or district servation for inclusion on, the | ☐ Yes⊠ No |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| If Yes: i. Nature of historic/archaeological resour ii. Name: | ce: Archaeological Site | Historic Building or District | |
| iii. Brief description of attributes on which | listing is based: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, loc archaeological sites on the NY State Histo | cated in or adjacent to an area designoric Preservation Office (SHPO) are | nated as sensitive for chaeological site inventory? | ⊠ Yes □No |
| g. Have additional archaeological or historic If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): ii. Basis for identification: | | | ∐Yes ⊠ No |
| h. Is the project site within fives miles of an scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes: i. Identify resource: | y officially designated and publicly | accessible federal, state, or local | □Yes⊠No |
| ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., | | e or local park, state historic trail o | r scenic byway, |
| iii. Distance between project and resource: | miles. | | |
| i. Is the project site located within a designate Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: | ated river corridor under the Wild, S | | ☐ Yes ☑ No |
| i. Identify the name of the river and its des ii. Is the activity consistent with development | ent restrictions contained in 6NYCR | R Part 666? | ☐Yes ☐No |
| F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which m If you have identified any adverse impacts measures which you propose to avoid or mi G. Verification I certify that the information provided is true Applicant/Sponsor Name Cheshice | which could be associated with you inimize them. The to the best of my knowledge. | | |
| PRINT FORM | D. 10.010 | TOWN OF CANAL R DEVELOPMENT E C E I DEC 6 2 | OFFICE O |
| | Page 13 of 13 | 1 5 | 187 |

PRINT FORM

Page 13 of 13

N== N

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

| 1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact | YES Moderate to large impact may |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | may occur | occur |
| a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet. | E2d | Ø | |
| b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater. | E2f | Ø | |
| c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface. | E2a | Ø | |
| d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material. | D2a | Ø | |
| e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases. | Dle | Ø | |
| f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides). | D2e, D2q | Ø | |
| g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area. | Bli | Ø | |
| h. Other impacts: | | Ø | |

RESET FORM

| 2. Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3. | it ☑ NO | | YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| destro belle control and market for the Control of the West Part & Completed, the Alegandria of the Completed and the Alegandria of the Al | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: | E2g | | |
| b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: | E3c | 0 | 0 |
| c. Other impacts: | | 0 | |
| | | | |
| 3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4. | ✓NO | | YES |
| namer considering the scale and atmentical that project 180 | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may create a new water body. | D2b, D1h | | 0 |
| b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water. | D2b | | 0 |
| c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body. | D2a | | |
| d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body. | E2h | | 0 |
| e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments. | D2a, D2h | | 0 |
| f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water. | D2c | | |
| g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s). | D2d | П | |
| h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies. | D2e | | |
| The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action. | E2h | | |
| j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body. | D2q, E2h | | 0 |
| k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, | D1a, D2d | | |

wastewater treatment facilities.

| 1. C | Other impacts: | | | |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| 4. | Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5. | ☑NO er. | | YES |
| | ne trigeni - Limpui - Aprodumit() | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| | The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells. | D2c | | |
| , | Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source: | D2c | | |
| | The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services. | D1a, D2c | | |
| d. T | The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater. | D2d, E21 | | |
| | The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated. | D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h | | |
| | The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer. | D2p, E2l | 0 | а |
| | The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources. | E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c | | a |
| h. | Other impacts: | | 0 | 0 |
| _ | Y A The live | M. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 5. | Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6. | ✓ NO | | YES |
| | Agran of these transfer of the state of the | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. ' | The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway. | E2i | 0 | |
| b. ' | The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain. | E2j | | П |
| c. | The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain. | E2k | | |
| | The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns. | D2b, D2e | | п |
| e. ′ | The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding. | D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k | D | п |
| | f there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, | Ele | п | |

| g. Other impacts: | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | | | <u> </u> |
| 6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7. | ✓NO | | YES |
| mentation mode involved Modern | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane | D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g | | 0 |
| b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants. | D2g | | 0 |
| c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. | D2f, D2g | 0 | п |
| d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above. | D2g | | |
| e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. | D2s | | |
| f. Other impacts: | | | |
| 7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. 1 If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8. | mq.) | ✓NO | □YES |
| stars batta - no Anti, bacerdelli Agrad azi - Banna - L. tradi gano dynapad Tompud - pojentinant) | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E20 | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government. | E2o | П | D. |
| c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E2p | П | П |
| d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government. | E2p | | |

| e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect. f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site. h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides. j. Other impacts: B. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) JNO JYES 8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) JNO JYES No., or small impact in the proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within a | | | | |
|--|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site. h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides. j. Other impacts: B. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) JNO YES Here'se'', answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. Relevant to large impact may small question(s) The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may resour, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hay fields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District or more than 10 | | E3c | | |
| No, or small impact impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification May impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification May fields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land inches group action may irreversibly convert agricultural District. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural District, or more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: I. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides. J. Other impacts: D. No Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) Moderate to large impact may occur Relevant Part I Question(s) Small impact may occur a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. D. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). C. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. D. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. Elb, E3a D. Elb, E3a D. C. C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C.C. | portion of a designated significant natural community. | E2n | | |
| i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides. j. Other impacts: | | E2m | | |
| 8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) Relevant Part 1 Question(s) Moderate may occur | grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. | E1b | 0 | 0 |
| 8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. Relevant Part I Question(s) No, or small impact may occur a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District, or mor | | D2q | 0 | 0. |
| The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. Relevant Part I Question(s) Impact may occur a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. f. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | | | | |
| The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. Relevant Part I Question(s) Impact may occur a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. f. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | | | | |
| a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a | and b.) | □NO | ✓ YES |
| NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | | | | |
| (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | | Part I | small impact | to large impact may |
| active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the | Part I Question(s) | small impact may occur | to large impact may occur |
| uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land | Part I Question(s) | small impact may occur | to large impact may occur |
| f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. C2c, C3, D2d C2c C2c C3 C2c C3 C4 C2c C4 C2c | a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of | Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb | small impact may occur | to large impact may occur |
| potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. D2c, D2d C2c | a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 | Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b | small impact may occur | to large impact may occur |
| Protection Plan. | a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land | Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a | small impact may occur | to large impact may occur |
| h. Other impacts: | a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development | Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3, | small impact may occur | to large impact may occur |
| | a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland | Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3, D2c, D2d | small impact may occur | to large impact may occur |

| 9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10. | No | ο [| YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| The second secon | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource. | E3h | О | |
| b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views. | E3h, C2b | О | |
| c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round | E3h | | 0 |
| d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: | E3h | | |
| i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities | E2q, E1c | 0 | 0 |
| e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource. | E3h | | |
| f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½-3 mile 3-5 mile | D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g | 0 | |
| 5+ mile | | | |
| g. Other impacts: | | а | п |
| 10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11. | □N(|) [| YES |
| C C (C) Reconstruct leading in a contract leading in the contract of the contr | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places. | E3e | IZI | |
| b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory. | E3f | IZI | |
| c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: | E3g | Z | |

| d. Other impacts: | | Ø | |
|--|---|--|---|
| e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3: | | | |
| The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. | E3e, E3g, E3f | Ø | |
| The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity. | E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b | | |
| iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting. | E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3 | Ø | |
| 11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12. | √ N0 |) <u> </u> | YES |
| record and a comparison of the same of the | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat. | D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p | | П |
| b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. | C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q | | |
| c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. | C2a, C2c E1c, E2q | | |
| d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource. | C2c, E1c | | |
| e. Other impacts: | | - 0 | О |
| 12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13. | ✓ No | o 🗌 | YES |
| If Tes, answer questions a - c. If No, go to section 13. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d | О | 0 |
| c. Other impacts: | | 0 | 0 |

| 13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems | . П <u>и</u> | o [7] | YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| (See Part 1. D.2.j) | , <u> </u> | | LES |
| If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 14. | r | r | |
| The second secon | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network. | D2j | Z | |
| b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles. | D2j | Ø | |
| c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access. | D2j | Ø | |
| d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations. | D2j | Ø | |
| e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods. | D2j | Ø | |
| f. Other impacts: | | Ø | |
| | | | |
| 14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15. | ✓No | 0 🔲 | YES |
| rect of must be founded in specimen. Dec 6 lb. 41 continued in specimen. Edit. 42 continued in specimen. Edit. 42 continued in specimen. Edit. 42 continued in specimen in the specimen in the specimen in the specimen. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. | D2k | | |
| b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. | D1f, D1q, D2k | 0 | |
| c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. | D2k | 0 | О |
| d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. | Dlg | 0 | |
| e. Other Impacts: | | | |
| | | | |
| 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor light (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16. | iting. NO |) [| YES |
| Tantagar timpi (gading). daya taya vad | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation. | D2m | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home. | D2m, E1d | Ø | |
| c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day. | D2o | | |

| area conditions. | | NZ. | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| f. Other impacts: | | Ø | |
| | | | |
| 16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. an If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17. | od h.) | | YES |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No,or small impact may cccur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community. | E1d | П | 0 |
| b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation. | Elg, Elh | | П |
| c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action. | Elg, Elh | П | |
| d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction). | Elg, Elh | | |
| e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health. | Elg, Elh | | |
| f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health. | D2t | | |
| g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility. | D2q, E1f | | 0 |
| h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste. | D2q, E1f | | D |
| i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste. | D2r, D2s | П | П |
| j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste. | E1f, E1g E1h | 0 | |
| k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures. | E1f, E1g | П | П |
| The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site. | D2s, E1f, D2r | 0 | П |
| m. Other impacts: | | | |

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.

V

D2n

| 17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. | √NO | | /ES |
|--|--|--|---|
| (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18. | | D (| |
| EST CONTENT OF STREET OF THE S | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s). | C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b | | |
| b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%. | C2 | | О |
| c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations. | C2, C2, C3 | | |
| d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans. | C2, C2 | | |
| e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure. | C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb | | П |
| f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure. | C4, D2c, D2d D2j | | |
| g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action) | C2a | | |
| h. Other: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes" answer questions a - g. If "No" proceed to Part 3 | ✓NO | | YES |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact | Moderate to large impact may |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. | Relevant Part I | No, or small | Moderate to large |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. | Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where | Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized | Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and | Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3 | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
 occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
 occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

See attached Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) Part III supporting information to EAF Part II.

| | | | | | | | 25 |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|----|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Determ | ination of | Significance - | - Type 1 and U | nlisted Action | s | |
| SEQR Status: | ✓ Type 1 | | Unlisted | | | | |
| Identify portions of | EAF completed for | or this Project: | Part 1 | Part 2 | Part 3 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information The Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) Part III supporting information to the EAF Part II provided by the applicant. |
|--|
| and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board |
| There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative |
| declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.d). C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued. |
| Name of Action: Cheshire Volunteer Fire Department |
| Name of Lead Agency: Town of Canandaigua Planning Board |
| Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Thomas Schwartz |
| Title of Responsible Officer: Planning Board Chairman |
| Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Date: February 25, 2014 |
| Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) Au S MRB Group, P.C. Date: February 25, 2014 |
| For Further Information: |
| Contact Person: Amanda Catalfamo |
| Address: 5440 Routes 5 & 20 West, Canandaigua, New York 14424 |
| Telephone Number: (585) 394-1120 |
| E-mail: |
| For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to: |
| Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html |

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT & SIGNLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM (EAF) PART 3 SUPPORTING INFORMATION TO THE EAF PART 2

* Additional information required from for completion of SEQR (Provide detailed information on a separate sheet).

1. IMPACT ON LAND

- f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).
 - Discuss how the project site will be protected during construction. Detail the proposed erosion and sediment control measures, drainage improvements (including green infrastructure measures), and landscaping to be provided to mitigate this potential impact for during and after construction.
 - Explain how the project will be designed to meet the Town of Canandaigua and NYSDEC drainage and erosion & sediment control requirements.
 - All other items within this section are No Impact.

8. IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND

- d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District..
 - The proposed project site is identified in an Ontario County Agricultural District. The applicant is to contact NYS Department of Agriculture & Markets. Discuss how this project could potential impact, or not impact the surrounding agricultural areas.
 - All other items within this section are No Impact.

10. IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

- b. Proposed Action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Archeological Site Inventory.
 - According to the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, the project site
 is within a designated archaeological sensitive area. The applicant should provide

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT & SIGNLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

documentation from State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and ultimately a "no impact" letter.

• All other items within this section are No Impact.

13. IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

- e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.
 - Discuss how this project is required to receive a permit from NYSDOT and the status of that approval. Discuss how emergency responses could potentially impact or will not impact the existing traffic patterns along NYS Route 5 & 20 West.
 - All other items within this section are No Impact.

15. IMPACT ON NOISE, ODOR, AND LIGHT

- a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.
 - Discuss when and how often this action would produce noise levels above the levels established by the Town.
 - All other items within this section are No Impact.

Memorandum



To: Town of Canandaigua Planning Board

From: James L. Mueller, L.S.

Date: 2/20/14

Re: Supplemental Information For EAF Part 2

Response to Item 1.f.: The SWPPP contains detailed actions and design information to address erosion and sediment control, along with drainage and green infrastructure improvements. Some of these measures are indicated below.

Planned Erosion and Sedimentation Control Practices

Sediment Removal / De-siltation Basins: Runoff during construction will be conveyed to two separate multi- staged storm water management basins via a mixture of sheet drainage and vegetated swales with stone check dams, providing sediment control and removal from the construction activities. All conveyance mechanisms will implement best management practices to keep suspended soil particles from entering the stormwater management basins. Any accumulated silt will be removed and placed in a designated location for natural dewatering and drying.

Temporary Stabilized Construction Access: A temporary crushed stone construction entrance will be installed at the proposed entrance location and will be the access location for all work and material deliveries for the project. Runoff from this area will be directed to SWMA 1 and it's sediment basins via a sheet drainage system that will use silt protection in the form of a crushed stone filter along its eastern edge. This measure will be inspected daily and corrective actions implemented to keep silt and other erosion materials from leaving the project construction site.

Silt Fence: Silt fence will be constructed around the topsoil stockpiles and the northern edge of the project site providing a temporary silt and debris barrier until vegetation is established and removal of the silt fence is allowed by the authorities having jurisdiction.

Stone Check Dams: Small dams constructed of filter fabric and washed crushed stone will be placed intermittently within constructed swales to reduce run-off velocities and allow sediments to settle out of the water stream, avoiding the deposition of soil particles into the stormwater management basins.

Land Grading: The site layout takes advantage of its natural slope and drainage patterns to avoid hydrologic changes and subsequent environmental impacts. Grading is minimized to

maintain natural vegetative cover conditions. Maximum cut and fill slopes of 4:1 are used to avoid structural failure and to secure vegetative cover establishment.

Concrete wash out: A dedicated concrete wash out area will be constructed to contain concrete laden wash water from exiting the site.

Inlet and Outlet Stabilization: Riprap aprons will be constructed at the inlets and outlets of the storm water collection system and at the storm water management facilities Inlet and outfall points to prevent scour and dissipate flow velocity and the associated energy generated in the smooth invert pipes.

Dust Control: Dust will be controlled on site by the application of water on an as needed basis.

Post Construction Stormwater Control Practices

Existing drainage patterns and cover conditions will be preserved to the fullest extent possible as part of the overall proposed grading, drainage and landscaping plan. Existing ground drainage areas not impacted by development will be diverted by shallow swales around developed areas to maintain natural flow characteristics and to avoid the need to route these areas through the new stormwater

management system. The site will be divided hydrologically into five (5) separate proposed drainage areas (PDA) for stormwater management design and implementation:

PDA-1: consisting of 0.87 acres, a portion of EDA-1, draining to the northwest;

PDA-2: consisting of 1.57 acres and contains most of the new development area;

PDA-3: consisting of 5.0 acres of the original EDA-2 watershed remaining undisturbed;

PDA-4: consisting of 0.41 acres, will serve as the waste water treatment area, to be pervious area;

PDA-5: consisting of 0.83 acres, a portion of EDA-1 to remain undeveloped in its natural state.

Therefore, only PDA-1 and PDA-2 will require stormwater management to mitigate post development runoff conditions. PDA-3 and PDA-5 will remain in their natural cover conditions while PDA-4, although temporarily disturbed to construct a septic treatment bed, will be maintained as a pervious lawn surface.

Stormwater Filtration / Infiltration / Bio-retention Areas: Approximately 30% of the developed site (PDA-1) will drain to Stormwater Management Area #1 (SWMA-1) and 70% of the site (PDA-2) will drain to SWMA-2 for run-off quantity and quality treatment in compliance with requirements as outlined in the New York State Stormwater Design Manual (August 2010). Pocket Ponds (P-5) are being used as the primary runoff treatment system. Additional storm

water reduction measures are outlined in the Green Infastructure Implementation Plan below: HydroCAD software using TR-20 hydrograph modeling calculated existing and proposed runoff conditions and pond designs.

Green Infastructure Implementation Plan

The project implements 5 steps of the planning process associated with the Green Infastructure initiative associated with the revised Stormwater Design Manual (August 2010) and GP-0-10-001. Based on the specific challenges associated with this site the decision was made to:

1. Conserve Natural Areas:

- Minimize total impervious area by constructing the associated building in phases as the organization grows. An evolving site layout process will be utilized to balance the facilities needs vs. altering existing natrual conditions.
- Conserve forest retention areas by avoiding hedge rows and stands of mature trees where possible.
- Conserve lands and open space by avoiding disturbance to the reparian zone and utilizing the portion of the site that had been disturbed by activity prior to the current regulations.

2. Disconnect Impervious Areas:

• Site grading was utilized to direct runoff and inhance flow conditions and direct off site runoff away from impervious areas to naturally vegetated areas.

3. Sheet Flow to Buffers:

- Vegetative filter area was implemented prior to SWMA-2 drainage collection point near the overflow parking areas to help inhance nutrient removal and slow surface water flow prior to entering the private SWMA-2 and associated on-site storm sewer collection system.
- Site layout and grading help to interupt the existing runoff by flattening the existing slope.
 - •Site grading, vegetated swales and the proposed storm water collection system route all runoff from the disturbed site to combined retention / detention facilities that reduce the runoff rate to the receiving waters.

4. Use Open Channels:

- Vegetated open channels are utilized to convey runoff between storm water management areas. Swales provide a longer flow path, a flatter slope than the existing natural slopes and promotes infiltration of runoff.
- The installation of check dams is utilized in vegetated swales to help reduce channel velocities, promote the detention of runoff, and increase bio-filtration and infiltration.

5. Preserve and Plant Trees:

• Reduce runoff rates, stabilize soil embankments and provide wildlife habitat and buffers.

Sequence of Construction

- 1. INSTALL PERIMETER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES.
- 2. INSTALL STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS AND STAGING AREA.
- 3. CLEAR AND GRUB PERMANENT STORM WATER MANAGEMENT AREAS AS NECESSARY.
- 4. STRIP AND STOCKPILE TOPSOIL,
- 5. CONSTRUCT ANY TEMPORARY AND/OR PERMANENT SEDIMENTATION BASINS AND STORM WATER DETENTION / RETENTION PONDS.
- 5a. REDISTRIBUTE TOPSOIL AND SEED AND MULCH AREAS NOT TO BE REDISTURBED.
- 6. CONSTRUCT AND STABILIZE TEMPORARY AND/OR PERMANENT DIVERSION SWALES.
- 7. INSTALL ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES (WITH APPROPRIATE INLET PROTECTION ON STORM INLETS).
- 8. SEED AND MULCH COMPLETED STORM WATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES.
- 9. BEGIN MASS EARTHWORK, COMPLETING CUTS AND FILLS TO SUBGRADE.
- 10. STABILIZE DENUDED AREAS AND STOCKPILES WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS OF THE LAST CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY IN THAT AREA THAT WILL NOT BEGIN AGAIN WITHIN THE NEXT SEVEN (7) DAYS. STABILIZATION CONSISTS OF SEED AND MULCH AS SPECIFIED.

- 11. COMPLETE BUILDING PAD, AND ANY FOUNDATION FOOTER EXCAVATIONS.
- 12. CONSTRUCT ACCESS DRIVE AND/OR PARKING AREAS.
- 13. REDISTRIBUTE TOPSOIL AND PROVIDE PERMANENT STABILIZATION.
- 14. CONSTRUCT PARKING AREAS AND TRAVEL LANES TO FINISHED GRADE.
- 15. REDISTRIBUTE TOPSOIL AND PROVIDE PERMANENT STABILIZATION.
- 16. STABILIZE DENUDED AREAS AND STOCKPILES WITH IN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS OF THE LAST CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY ON ANY AREA THAT WILL NOT BE DISTURBED AGAIN WITHIN THE NEXT SEVEN (7) DAYS. STABILIZATION CONSISTS OF SEED AND MULCH AS SPECIFIED.
- 17. UPON COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION REMOVE / BRING TEMPORARY SEDIMENTATION BASINS TO GRADE AND STABILIZE.
- 18. ONCE SITE STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED PER NYSDEC STANDARDS, REMOVE THE REMAINING TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND RESEED ANY DISTURBANCE ASSOCIATED WITH THE REMOVAL.

Estimated time before final stabilization - 6 months.

Construction SWPPP Inspection, Operaton and Maintenance Plan

- 1. All erosion and sediment control practices will be checked for stability and operation following every runoff-producing rainfall but in no case less than once every week. Any needed repairs will be made immediately to maintain all practices as designed and installed for their appropriate phase of the project.
- 2. The sediment basins will be cleaned out when the level of sediment reaches 1.5 ft below the invert of the outall pipe. The crushed stone filters will be cleaned or replaced when the sediment pool no longer drains properly.
- 3. Sediment will be removed from behind the sediment fence when it becomes about 0.5 ft deep at the fence. The sediment fence will be repaired / replaced as necessary to maintain the appropriate level of protection.
- 4. Dust control will be applied on an as needed basis.
- 5. All seeded areas will be fertilized, reseeded as necessary, and mulched according to specifications in the storm water pollution prevention plan and construction documents.
- 6. Unless otherwise notified by the Department, the qualified inspector shall conduct site inspections in accordance with the following timetables:
 - a. For construction sites where soil disturbance activities are on-going, the qualified inspector shall conduct a site inspection at least once every seven (7) calendar days.

b. For construction sites where soil disturbance activities have been temporarily suspended (e.g. winter shutdown) and temporary stabilization measures have been applied to all disturbed areas, the qualified inspector shall conduct a site inspection at least once every thirty (30) calendar days. The owner or operator shall notify the Regional Office stormwater contact person (see contact information in Appendix F) or, in areas under the jurisdiction of a regulated, traditional land use control MS4, the MS4 (provided the MS4 is not the owner or operator of the construction activity) in writing prior to reducing the frequency of inspections.

Response to Item 8.d.: This project will have no impact on adjacent agricultural uses. The developed site is adjacent to and will access NYS Rte. 5 & 20. There is no connection to adjacent parcels. There is a substantial undisturbed area between the proposed site improvements and the agricultural lands to the south.

Response to Item 10.b.: The site has been previously disturbed. Please refer to the attached letter and aerial photo submitted to NYS Historical Preservation Office. Their response will be provided to the Town.

Response to Item 13: NYS has completed their initial review of the proposed access drive to Rte. 5 & 20. We have addressed their comments, however DOT requires a SEQRA determination before they will finalize any permit. Upon completion of the Town's action, we will submit final plans for the permit. DOT's comment letter has been provided to the Town Engineer, as will any additional correspondence.

Traffic patterns will not be impacted on Rte. 5 & 20. The Cheshire Fire Department answers approximately 300 +/- calls a year and estimates that this location may handle one-third of those calls. There are no permanent firemen at this location so there will be limited, if any, vehicle movements during any given timeframe.

Response to Item 15.a.: As indicated above the responses from this location will be limited to an average of two (2)+/- per week. Sirens would be used on an as-needed basis to alert traffic, however given the sight distances available the truck lights would probably be adequate as they exit the site and move along the highway.

If you have any further questions, please contact us.

Thank you for your cooperation.

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPROVAL – PUBLIC USE FIRE STATION

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering a Special Use Permit and Single-Stage Site Plan approval for the construction of a 7,900 sf fire station including nine (9) parking spaces, stormwater management areas, utility improvements, an entrance off NYS Route 5 & 20 in the AR-2 zoning district and as described in the Site Plans dated November 12, 2013 and last revised February 12, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of February 25, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has determined the proposed development to be a Type 1 Action under Part 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has completed the public review and comment period provided for under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has designated itself as lead agency under the SEQR Regulations for making the determination of significance upon said action; and

WHEREAS, in compliance with NYS Town Law and the regulations of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), a determination of significance and a negative declaration was adopted by the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board on February 25, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board does hereby determine the proposed Special Use Permit to be consistent with the provisions of Chapter 220-35, of the Town Code; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Planning Board hereby \square Approves without Conditions; X Approves with the following Conditions; or \square Denies the application for the following reasons:

- 1. The special use permit shall remain in effect for the current and future owners and/or operators with no requirement for renewal, provided the use remains in compliance with the conditions of approval and Town Code § 220-35.
- 2. In compliance with Town Code § 220-35, the Town Code Enforcement Officer and/or Zoning Officer shall make an on-site visit at least once every three years, or as may be necessary to insure that the special use permit is being operated in accordance with the conditions specified by the Planning Board.
- 3. All comments identified in the Town Engineer's Letter dated February 3, 2014 are to be addressed prior to the Town Engineer's signature and the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the Site Plans.
- 4. All comments identified in the Town of Canandaigua Highway and Water Superintendents letter dated January 13, 2014 are to be addressed prior to the Highway and Water Superintendents signature and the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the Site Plans.

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPROVAL – PUBLIC USE FIRE STATION

5. All Single-Stage Site Plan conditions of approval are to be addressed prior to the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the Site Plans.

| 6. | | |
|---|--|-----------------|
| The above Resolution was offered by | and seconded by | at |
| a regular scheduled Planning Board Meeting voice vote was recorded: | held on February 25, 2014. Following discus | sion, a |
| Richard Gentry - | | |
| Charles Oyler - | 4 4 | |
| Dan O'Bine - | | |
| Ryan Staychock - | | |
| Thomas Schwartz - | | |
| I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Boaresolution being acted upon and recorded in Board for the February 25, 2014 meeting. | ard, do hereby attest to the accuracy of the the minutes of the Town of Canandaigua Pla | above anning |
| | | |
| L | S. | |
| Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board | | |
| | | |

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT & SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering a Special Use Permit and Single-Stage Site Plan approval for the construction of a 7,900 sf fire station including nine (9) parking spaces, stormwater management areas, utility improvements, an entrance off NYS Route 5 & 20 in the AR-2 zoning district and as described in the Site Plans dated November 12, 2013 and last revised February 12, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of February 25, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board completed a formal review of the proposed development in compliance with the implementing regulations of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board classified the above referenced Action to be a Type I Action under Section 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, in compliance with NYS Town Law and the regulations of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), a determination of significance and a negative declaration was adopted by the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board on February 25, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has compiled the attached list of findings to be kept on file with the application in the Town Development Office, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Planning Board hereby \square Approves without Conditions; X Approves with the following Conditions; or \square Denies the application for the following reasons:

- 1. A landscaping surety in the amount to be determined by the Town Code Enforcement Officer / Town Engineer is to be provided and accepted by the Town Board prior to the issuance of any permits.
- 2. A soil erosion surety in the amount to be determined by the Town Code Enforcement Officer / Town Engineer shall be provided to and accepted by the Town Board prior to the issuance of any permits.
- 3. All comments identified in the Town Engineer's Letter, dated February 3, 2014 are to be addressed prior to the Town Engineer's signature and the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the Final Subdivision Plans.
- 4. All comments identified in the Town Highway Superintendent's Letter, dated January 13, 2014 are to be addressed prior to the Town Highway Superintendent's signature and the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the Final Site Plans.
- 5. An approval letter from New York State Department of Transportation regarding their review of this application is to be provided to the Town Development office prior Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the Final Site Plans.
- 6. All conditions of approval in the letter dated January 8, 2013 from the Canandaigua Lake Watershed Commission regarding their review of the proposed wastewater treatment (septic) system are to be addressed and a letter of approval received prior to issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy.

CPN 096-13 & CPN 097-13 TM# 83.00-1-19.000 SPECIAL USE PERMIT & SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

7. Site Plan Approval with conditions specified above herein is valid for a period of 180 days from today. Once all conditions of Site Plan Approval have been met and shown on revised drawings including the revision dates, the Planning Board Chairperson will then sign the Site Plans.

| 21011 1114 2114 1 141121 | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|
| 8. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| The above Resolution was offered byscheduled Planning Board Meeting held on was recorded: | February 25, 2014. Following disc | at a regular cussion, a voice vote |
| was recited. | A AM | |
| Richard Gentry - | | |
| Charles Oyler - | | Out of the last |
| Dan O'Bine - | The state of the s | |
| Ryan Staychock - | | |
| Thomas Schwartz - | | |
| I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Boa | ard do hereby attest to the accura | cy of the above |
| resolution being acted upon and recorded in Board for the February 25, 2014 meeting. | the minutes of the Town of Canan | daigua Planning |
| A STATE OF THE STA | Non-Property | |
| | G | |
| Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board | L. S. | |
| Jungerieu, Secretary of the Board | | |

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION RIEDMAN-WEGMAN CANANDAIGUA LLC – HAMMOCKS AT CANANDIAUGA, PHASE 1 & PHASE II – HAMMOCKS DRIVE

CPN 003-14 TM# 83.00-1-7.100

SINGLE-STAGE SUBDIVISION APPROVAL - SEQR RESOLUTION- UNLISTED ACTION

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board, (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering a request for a single-stage subdivision plan approval for a two-lot subdivision of 21.8 acre parcel to create Lot R-2A of 13.4 acres for Phase 1 and Lot R-2B of 8.4 acres for Phase II as described in the *Hammocks at Canandaigua Lot 2 Subdivision Plat* dated January 2014, last revised January 27, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of February 25, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board determines that said proposed application is classified as an Unlisted Action under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has determined that the proposed development is subject to a single agency review pursuant to Part 617.6(b) (4) of the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board determines that it is the most appropriate agency for making the determination of significance thereon under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board does hereby designate itself as lead agency for the proposed development above herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has given consideration to the criteria for determining significance as set forth in Section 617.7(c) (1) of the SEQR Regulations and the information contained in the Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has completed Part 2 and Part 3 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board has reasonably concluded the following impacts are expected to result from the proposed Action, when compared against the criteria in Section 617.7 (c):

- (i) there will not be a substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
- (ii) there will not be large quantities of vegetation or fauna removed from the site or destroyed as the result of the proposed Action; there will not be substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species as the result of the proposed Action; there will not be a significant impact upon habitat areas on the site; there are no known threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or, are there any other significant adverse impacts to natural resources on the site;
- (iii) there are no known Critical Environmental Area(s) on the site which will be impaired as the result of the proposed Action;
- (iv) the overall density of the site is consistent with the Town's Comprehensive Plan land use recommendations;

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION RIEDMAN-WEGMAN CANANDAIGUA LLC – HAMMOCKS AT CANANDIAUGA, PHASE 1 & PHASE II – HAMMOCKS DRIVE

CPN 003-14 TM# 83.00-1-7.100

SINGLE-STAGE SUBDIVISION APPROVAL - SEQR RESOLUTION- UNLISTED ACTION

- (v) the site is within an identified archaeological sensitive; however a no effect letter was received from SHPO as part of the original approval for the Hammock at Canandaigua. No additional site disturbance is proposed.
- (vi) there will <u>not</u> be an increase in the use of either the quantity or type of energy resulting from the proposed Action;
- (vii) there will not be any hazard created to human health;
- (viii) there will <u>not</u> be a change in the use of active agricultural lands that receive an agricultural use tax exemption or that will ultimately result in the loss of ten acres of such productive farmland;
- (ix) there will <u>not</u> be a larger number of persons attracted to the site for more than a few days when compared to the number of persons who would come to the site absent the Action;
- (x) there will <u>not</u> be created a material demand for other Actions that would result in one of the above consequences;
- (xi) there will <u>not</u> be changes in two or more of the elements of the environment that when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact; and
- (xii) there are <u>not</u> two or more related Actions which would have a significant impact on the environment.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, based upon the information and analysis above and the supporting documentation referenced above, the proposed Action **WILL NOT** result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Planning Board does hereby make a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed development, and the Planning Board Chairman is hereby directed to sign the Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 and issue the Negative Declaration form as evidence of the Planning Board's determination.

| The above Resolution was off | | and seconded b | - | at a |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------|
| regular scheduled Planning Bo | oard Meeting held or | February 25, 2014. | Following | discussion, a |
| voice vote was recorded: | | | | |
| Richard Gentry - | | | | |
| Charles Oyler - | | | | |
| Dan O'Bine - | | | | |
| Ryan Staychock - | | | | |

Thomas Schwartz -

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION RIEDMAN-WEGMAN CANANDAIGUA LLC – HAMMOCKS AT CANANDIAUGA, PHASE 1 & PHASE II – HAMMOCKS DRIVE

CPN 003-14 TM# 83.00-1-7.100

SINGLE-STAGE SUBDIVISION APPROVAL - SEQR RESOLUTION- UNLISTED ACTION

I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board, do hereby attest to the accuracy of the above resolution being acted upon and recorded in the minutes of the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board for the February 25, 2014 meeting.

Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board

617.20 Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

| Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Name of Action or Project: | | | | | |
| Hammocks at Canandaigua - Lot 2 Subdivision | | | | | |
| Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): | | | | | |
| Hammocks Drive TM #83.00-1-7.100 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Brief Description of Proposed Action: | 1 -4 D 0D | a d d acres for Dhans II | of the H | ommo | oke at |
| Subdivision of 21.8 acres into two lots. Lot R-2A will be 13.4 acres for Phase I and Canandaigua. | LOT R-28 WIII I | pe 6.4 acres for Phase II | OI WIE I | annin | icho at |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Name of Applicant or Sponsor: | Teleph | one: ₅₈₅₋₂₃₂₋₂₆₀₀ | | | |
| Riedman-Wegman Canandalgua, LLC. | E-Mail | : drledman@rledmande | velopme | ent.cor | n |
| Address: | | | | | |
| 45 East Avenue | | | | | |
| City/PO: | | State: | Zip C | ode: | |
| Rochester | | NY | 14604 | | |
| 1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plant | an, local law | , ordinance, | ı | 10 | YES |
| administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action | and the envi | ronmental recourses t | hat [| 7 | |
| may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continu | ie to question | 12. | I L | √ | ш |
| 2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from | | | I | 10 | YES |
| If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval: | | | | 7 | |
| | | | Įι | √ | Ш |
| 3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? | 21. | B acres | | | |
| b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? | | o acres | | | |
| c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned | 42. | 7 acres | | | |
| or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? | 44. | Lucios | | | |
| 4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed ac | tion. | _ | | | |
| ☐ Urban ☑ Rural (non-agriculture) ☐ Industrial ☐ Co | ommercial | | | | |
| ☐Forest ☐Agriculture ☐Aquatic ☐Ot | her (specify) | Apartment Community | | _ | |
| ☐ Parkland | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 5. Is the proposed action, a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations? | NO | YES | N/A |
|--|----------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | 님 | V | 14 |
| b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan? | | | |
| 6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural | | NO | YES |
| landscape? | | | V |
| 7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental A | rea? | NO | YES |
| If Yes, identify: | | 1 | П |
| 8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels? | | NO | YES |
| a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial morease in traffic above present revers? | | 7 | TES |
| b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action? | | | |
| | | 닏 | V |
| c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed ac | tion? | Ш | |
| Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: | | NO | YES |
| The proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design reactives and technologies. | | П | V |
| | | | |
| 10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? | | NO | YES |
| If No, describe method for providing potable water: | | | |
| The state of the s | | | |
| 11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? | | NO | YES |
| TO No. describe mode of Commenciation and a first transfer of the second | | | |
| If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: | | $ \sqcup $ | |
| 12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic | | NO | YES |
| Places? | | V | П |
| b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area? | | | H |
| | | V | |
| 13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, conta wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency? | in | NO | YES |
| b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? | | | |
| If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: | | V | Ш |
| | | | |
| | | 10.123 | 2005 |
| 14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check | all that | apply: | - 31.10.20 |
| ☐ Shoreline ☐ Forest ☐ Agricultural/grasslands ☐ Early mid-success | | | |
| ☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☑ Suburban | | | |
| 15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed | | NO | YES |
| by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered? | | | |
| 16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain? | | NO | YES |
| 20. 20 the project site tooked in the 100 year nood plant | | V | 1163 |
| 17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? | | NO | YES |
| If Yes, | | | |
| a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? | | Ш | |
| b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drain | ns)? | #3000 | 銀幣 |
| If Yes, briefly describe: | , | -4.3 | |
| Existing pond constructed with Phase I | | を表式 | \$. 37 E. 45 2 C. |
| | | | net in |

| 10 | Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of | | NO | YES |
|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 10. | water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? | Ì | | |
| If | es, explain purpose and size: | | V | FI |
| - | | | ш | |
| 19. | Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed | 1 | NO | YES |
| | solid waste management facility? | | | |
| lf Y | es, describe: | | $ \checkmark $ | Ш |
| | | | | |
| 20. | Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoi | ng or | NO | YES |
| | completed) for hazardous waste? 'es, describe: | | | П |
| 11.1 | es, describe. | | $\boxed{\checkmark}$ | ш |
| | | O MIXING E | TECOT C | TO MAKE |
| | FFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO OWLEDGE |) THE E | ESI C |) F IVI X |
| An | plicant/sponsor name; J. Lincoln Swedrock; BME Assoc agent for Riedman-Wegma Date: January 23, | 2014 | | |
| Sig | nature: | | | |
| | - Office Processing | | | |
| | V | | | |
| Par | t 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2. Answ | er all of | the foll | owing |
| oth | stions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the projections available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by | the conce | or or ept "Ha | ve my |
| regi | conses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" | | | |
| TOB | Johnson Dech reasonable considering the South and Consider of the Proposed action | | | |
| 108 | Johnses Deem reasonable considering the soule that convents of the proposed average and the soule that the soule that the proposed average and the soule that the soule tha | No. or | Mo | derate |
| | JOHNSON DECEMBER COMMITTEE AND STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR | No, or small | to | derate large |
| TOS | Johnses Occin reasonable considering the source and considering property and the source of the sourc | small impact | to in | large npact |
| | Johnses Occin Casonator Considering the Source and Considering The Source a | small | to in | large |
| 1. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. 2. 3. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. 2. 3. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. 2. 3. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? Will the proposed action impact existing: | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies? b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies? b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities? | small impact may | to in | large ipact may |

| 18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of | NO | YES |
|--|--------|-----|
| water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? | | |
| If Yes, explain purpose and size: | | |
| | Ш | |
| | | |
| 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed | NO | YES |
| solid waste management facility? | | |
| If Yes, describe: | | |
| | | ш |
| We are the second of the secon | | |
| 20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or | NO | YES |
| completed) for hazardous waste? | | |
| If Yes, describe: | | |
| | ш | |
| | | |
| I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE I KNOWLEDGE | BEST O | FMY |
| Applicant/sponsor name: Date: | | |
| Signature: | | |
| | | |

Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2. Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

| | CPN 003-14 HAMMOCKS SUBDIVISION | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
|----|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? | ✓ | |
| 2. | Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? | ✓ | |
| 3. | Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? | ✓ | |
| 4. | Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? | V | |
| 5. | Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? | ✓ | |
| 6. | Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? | ✓ | |
| 7. | Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies? | V | |
| | b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities? | ✓ | |
| 8. | Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources? | V | |
| 9. | Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)? | V | |

| | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems? | \checkmark | |
| 11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health? | √ | |

Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

No moderate to large impact was identified.

PRINT

| that the proposed action may result in one or more pote environmental impact statement is required. | rmation and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, |
|---|---|
| Town of Canandaigua Planning Board | February 25, 2014 |
| Name of Lead Agency | Date |
| Thomas Schwartz | Planning Board Chairman |
| Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency | / Title of Responsible Officer |
| | MRB Group, P.C. |
| Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency | Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) |

Page 4 of 4

RESET

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION RIEDMAN-WEGMAN CANANDAIGUA LLC - HAMMOCKS AT CANANDIAUGA, PHASE 1 & PHASE II – HAMMOCKS DRIVE CPN 003-14 TM# 83.00-1-7.100

SINGLE-STAGE SUBDIVISION APPROVAL

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board, (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering a request for a single-stage subdivision plan approval for a two-lot subdivision of 21.8 acre parcel to create Lot R-2A of 13.4 acres for Phase 1 and Lot R-2B of 8.4 acres for Phase II as described in the Hammocks at Canandaigua Lot 2 Subdivision Plat dated January 2014, last revised January 27, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of February 25, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board completed a formal review of the proposed development in compliance with the implementing regulations of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEORA), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has determined the proposed development to be an Unlisted action and is subject to a single agency review pursuant to Part 617.6(b)(4) of the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, on February 25, 2014 the Planning Board, serving as lead agency, made a determination of significance and filed a negative declaration thereby concluding review pursuant to SEOR, and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has compiled the attached list of findings to be kept on file with the application in the Town Development Office, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Planning Board hereby

Approves without Conditions; X Approves with the following Conditions; or Denies the application for the following reasons:

- 1. All comments identified in the Town Engineer's Letter dated February 21, 2014 are to be addressed prior to the Town Engineer's signature and the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the Subdivision Plans.
- 2. All comments by Ontario County Public Works are to be addressed prior to the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the Subdivision Plans.
- 3. Single-Stage Subdivision Plan approval with conditions specified above herein is valid for a period of 180 days from today. Once all conditions of Single-Stage Subdivision Plan Approval have been met and shown on revised drawings including the revision dates, the Planning Board Chairperson will then sign the Subdivision Plans.

4. The above Resolution was offered by _____ and seconded by ____ at a regular scheduled Planning Board Meeting held on February 25, 2014. Following discussion, a voice vote was recorded: Richard Gentry -

- Charles Oyler -Dan O'Bine -
- Ryan Staychock -
- Thomas Schwartz -

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION RIEDMAN-WEGMAN CANANDAIGUA LLC – HAMMOCKS AT CANANDIAUGA, PHASE 1 & PHASE II – HAMMOCKS DRIVE CPN 003-14 TM# 83.00-1-7.100 SINGLE-STAGE SUBDIVISION APPROVAL

I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board, do hereby attest to the accuracy of the above resolution being acted upon and recorded in the minutes of the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board for the February 25, 2014 meeting.

L.S. Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION PATRICK & LAURIE BAXTER – 2265 COUNTY ROAD 28 CPN 009-14 – TM#57.00-1-77.120 SPECIAL USE PERMIT – TEMPORARY USE (RENEWAL)

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board, (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering an application for renewal of the special use permit to allow operation of a commercial firewood processing operation on a residential lot located at 2265 County Road 28 as described in the site plan submitted February 4, 2013 and all related information submitted as of February 25, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, this application is for the renewal of the special use permit first approved for this application by the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board on February 12, 2013 with conditions; and

WHEREAS, all of the conditions of approval have been met and no modifications and/or changes to the originally approved site plans are proposed; and

WHEREAS, Planning Board, as part of the original approval, classified the above referenced Action to be a Type II Action under Section 617.5 (c) of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, Type II Actions are not subject to further review under Part 617 of the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, on February 25, 2014, in compliance with NYS Town Law, the Planning Board held a public hearing on the current application and completed a formal review of the application; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has adopted a list of relevant findings; and

WHEREAS, it is the position of this Board that the subject use will comply with the requirements and intent of Town Code, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Board hereby \square Approves without Conditions; X Approves with the following Conditions; or \square Denies the application for the following reasons:

- 1. The special use permit shall remain in effect for the current and future owners and/or operators with no requirement for renewal, provided the use remains in compliance with the conditions of approval and Town Code § 220-35.
- 2. In compliance with Town Code § 220-35, the Town Code Enforcement Officer and/or Zoning Officer shall make an on-site visit at least once every three years, or as may be necessary to insure that the special use permit is being operated in accordance with the conditions specified by the Planning Board.
- 3. Before beginning operations, the subject property will be subject to inspection by the Town Code Enforcement Officer and/or Zoning Officer to determine compliance with NYS Building Code requirements and Town Code requirements and conditions of this approval.

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION PATRICK & LAURIE BAXTER – 2265 COUNTY ROAD 28 CPN 009-14 – TM#57.00-1-77.120 SPECIAL USE PERMIT – TEMPORARY USE (RENEWAL)

| The above Resolution was offered by | and | seconded | by | at a | a |
|--|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|---|
| regular scheduled Planning Board Meeting held of | on February | 25, 2014. | Following | discussion, | a |
| voice vote was recorded: | | | | | |
| P. 1. 1.C | | | | | |
| Richard Gentry - | | | | | |
| Charles Oyler - | | | | | |
| Dan O'Bine - | | | | | |
| Ryan Staychock - | | | | | |
| Thomas Schwartz - | | | | | |
| | | dik | | | |
| | | 100 | | | |
| I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board, | do hereby a | ittest to the | e accuracy | of the above | е |
| resolution being acted upon and recorded in the | minutes of t | he Town | of Canandai | gua Planning | g |
| Board for the February 25, 2014 meeting. | | | 41534 | | |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | - din | Jh. | | | |
| L. S. | 700 | | 1 | | |
| Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board | 40.0 | ls. | | | |

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD FINDINGS PATRICK & LAURIE BAXTER – 2265 COUNTY ROAD 28 CPN 009-14 – TM#57.00-1-77.120 SPECIAL USE PERMIT – TEMPORARY USE (RENEWAL)

- 1. The applicant is requesting a renewal of the special use permit to allow operation of a commercial firewood processing operation on his residential lot located at 2265 County Road 28 as described in the site plan dated February 4, 2013 and all related information submitted as of February 25, 2014.
- 2. The zoning for the property is R·I·30 with a minim lot size of 30,000sf.
- 3. The 11.4 acre lot currently accommodates a single family residence and accessory structures.
- 4. No additional buildings are proposed to be built or used as part of the operation.
- 5. An area of 300' x 50' (about 1/3ac) will be used for the operation.
- 6. A portion of the site used for the processing operations is approximately 150' long and 15' wide and covered with gravel.
- 7. As part of the original approval, the applicant indicated that, as needed, additional gravel will be brought in to stabilize areas disturbed during operations.
- 8. Firewood delivery begins in October of each year.
- 9. Actual cutting, splitting, and other processing begins in November and all operations including delivery ends on or before March 31st of each year.
- 10. All equipment and other debris from the site is removed by March 31st of each year.
- 11. Firewood is sold year round with an average of one or two customers visiting the site on any given day.
- 12. Surrounding land uses are primarily single family residential on lots ranging from about ¾ acre to 1 ½ ac lots.
- 13. SEQR was completed as part of the original approval of the Special Use Permit.
- 14. The Planning Board classified the application as a Type II Action under Section 617.5 (c) of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations.
- 15. Type II Actions are not subject to further review under Part 617 of the SEQR Regulations and in making this classification the Planning Board has satisfied the procedural requirements under SEQR.
- 16. At their February 25, 2014 meting the Planning Board held a public hearing and reviewed the application.
- 17. The applicant has an existing curb cut onto County Road 28 and does not propose to modify the existing entrance.
- 18. General Requirements for all Specially Permitted Uses (Town Code § 220·35) the Planning Board must make a determination assessing the proposal against the following standards and criteria. *Planning Board responses and determinations are* in *bulleted*.
 - (d) Utilities, as appropriate, with reference to locations, availability and compatibility.
 - The operation does not need access to utilities.
 - Workers use the existing house for access to water and restrooms.

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD FINDINGS PATRICK & LAURIE BAXTER – 2265 COUNTY ROAD 28 CPN 009-14 – TM#57.00-1-77.120 SPECIAL USE PERMIT – TEMPORARY USE (RENEWAL)

- (e) Screening and buffering, with reference to type, dimensions and character.
 - The use is along the north side lot line between the house on the lot and a few acres of deciduous trees.
 - It is adjacent to and clearly visible from the road (County Road 28) and properties across County Road 28.
- (f) Signs, if any, and proposed exterior lighting with reference to glare, traffic safety, economic effect and compatibility and harmony with properties in the district.
 - No additional lighting is proposed.
 - A 6 sf sign exists and adheres to any Town Code requirements that may apply.
- (g) Required yards and other open space.
 - Town §220-9, V lists setback requirements for driveways.
- (h) General compatibility with adjacent properties and other property in the zone district.
- 19. As previously determined by the Code Enforcement Officer, the facilities to be impacted by the proposed use are to continue to comply with the New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code requirements. The requirements are the following:
 - Log piles shall be limited to no more than 500 feet in length, 300 feet in width, and 20 feet in height.
 - That fire access shall be provided by a 20 feet wide stone drive.
 - That log piles shall be stabilized.
 - Firewood piles shall not exceed 25 feet in height, 150 feet in width, and 250 feet in length.
 - That all exterior electrical equipment shall be approved for the use and shall require a building permit prior to installation.
 - That the site should be kept free of static piles of saw dust and chips.
 - That there shall be no weeds or vegetation around storage piles that have the capability of being ignited.
 - That the commercial firewood processing operation shall be subject to a fire safety inspection at intervals not to exceed 3 years, and that the inspection shall be done during the months of wood processing.
- 20. The Town Code Enforcement Officer and/or Town Zoning Officer shall make an on-site visit at least once every three years, or as may be necessary to insure that the special use permit is being operated in accordance with the conditions specified by the Planning Board.
- 21. If it's determined that a violation of the conditions imposed by the Town Planning Board exists, a letter of violation shall be written to the property owner giving 30 days to correct the violation. The special use permit shall become null and void at the end of said specified period if the violation has not been corrected.

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD FINDINGS PATRICK & LAURIE BAXTER – 2265 COUNTY ROAD 28 CPN 009-14 – TM#57.00-1-77.120 SPECIAL USE PERMIT – TEMPORARY USE (RENEWAL)

- 22. In the event a special use permit is determined to be null and void, a new special use permit shall be required to be approved by the Planning Board prior to the reestablishment of said use.
- 23. A referral to the Ontario County Planning Board is not required (Exemption List #2).
- 24. The Town Zoning Officer last completed an onsite inspection on April 10, 2013 as required by the Chapter 220, Section 35-H and the Planning Board conditions of Special Use Permit approval granted February 12, 2013.
- 25. The Special Use was found to be operating in compliance with all past conditions of approval. A copy of this report is in the property file.
- 26. The Planning Board has determined the previously approved Special Use Permit to allow operation of a commercial firewood processing operation on a residential lot located at 2265 County Road 28 is consistent with the provisions of Chapter 220-35 of the Town Code and the previous conditions of approval.
- 27. The special use permit is to remain in effect for the current and future owners and/or operators with no requirement for renewal, provided the use remains in compliance with the conditions of approval and Town Code § 220-35.

TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION PHILIP & AMANDA GOLIBER – 3414 POPLAR BEACH CPN 006-14 TM# 98.15-1-7.100

SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL - CONTINUATION RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering a Single-Stage Site Plan approval for a tear-down/ rebuild of a single-family dwelling on a 7,477 SF (0.172 ac) parcel located at 3414 Poplar Beach in the RLD zoning district and as described on the Site Plans last revised January 31, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of February 25, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, the application is subject to variances from the Zoning Board of Appeals; and

WHEREAS, this application was formally submitted for review at the February 18, 2014 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals continued this application to the March 4, 2014 board meeting; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board cannot take action on this application until the Zoning Board of Appeals makes a decision on the requested variances; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board does hereby move to table the application to their March 11, 2014 Planning Board Meeting.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Board does hereby request the applicant to provide the following information on or before noon on Wednesday, March 5, 2014:

| 1. All correspondences from Ontario County Department of Public Works regarding their review of this application are to be forwarded to the Town Development Office. |
|---|
| 2. |
| The above Resolution was offered by and seconded by at a regular scheduled Planning Board Meeting held on February 25, 2014. Following discussion, a voice vote was recorded: |
| Richard Gentry - Charles Oyler - Dan O'Bine - Ryan Staychock - |
| Thomas Schwartz - I. Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board, do hereby attest to the accuracy of the above |

resolution being acted upon and recorded in the minutes of the Town of Canandaigua Planning

Board for the February 25, 2014 meeting.

Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board