5440 Routes 5 & 20 West Canandaigua, NY 14424

## **PLANNING BOARD**

Tuesday, May 13, 2014, 6:30 p.m.

## **MEETING AGENDA**

MEETING CALLED BY:

**Thomas Schwartz** 

**BOARD MEMBERS:** 

Richard Gentry, Daniel O'Bine, Charles Oyler, Ryan Staychock

**SECRETARY:** 

**Kathy Gingerich** 

STAFF MEMBERS:

Lance Brabant, MRB Group

**Christian Nadler, Planning Board Attorney Douglas Finch, Director of Development** 

Pledge of Allegiance

Introduction of Board Members and Staff
Overview of Emergency Evacuation Procedure
Attest to the Publishing of Legal Notices
Privilege of the Floor

SKETCH PLANS:

None at this time

**CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS:** 

None at this time

**NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS:** 

CPN-009-13

Beryl Ann Pronti, owner of property at 4405 Lakeshore Drive, TM#98.08-1-4.000, is requesting special use permit approval for event parking in the CC zoning district.

**CLOSED PUBLIC HEARINGS:** 

None at this time

**FINAL SUBDIVISIONS:** 

None at this time

**CONTINUED PRELIMINARY SITE PLANS:** 

None at this time

**NEW PRELIMINARY SITE PLANS:** 

None at this time

**CONTINUED FINAL / ONE-STAGE SITE PLANS:** 

CPN-018-14

Venezia Associates, representing Randall Farnsworth, owner of property at 5375 Thomas Road, TM#70.06-1-62.110, is requesting one stage site plan approval to construct a car dealership (Randall GMC) in the CC zoning district.

(OCPB review at 4/9 meeting, approved with conditions at 4/15 ZBA meeting)

CPN-016-14

DLH Properties LLC, owner of property at 2450 County Road 28/0000 County Road 28, TM#70.00-1-18.210/70.00-1-18.220, is requesting one stage site plan approval to construct 32 apartment units in 7 buildings (Candlewood Phase III) in the MR zoning district. (coordinated review began 4/8 – ends 5/8)

#### **BOARD BUSINESS**

- □ Approval of April 22, 2014 meeting minutes
- □ Referrals from Town Board:
  - Michael Necci, 2635 County Road 22, TM#71.00-1-21.151, rezoning from AR-1 to MUO-3 (approved by Town Board 4/14)
- □ Recommendations to Zoning Board of Appeals:

None at this time

□ Recommendations to the Code Enforcement Officer:

None at this time

□ Resubdivision / Annexations:

None at this time

- □ Letter of Credit/Bond Releases:
  - ➤ Lakewood Meadows Section 8B, LOC Release #1
- □ Comprehensive Plan General Discussion
- □ Other Business as Required:
  - ➤ CPN-063-13 Morgan Management LLC for Keuka Park Strong Hall LLC, TM#56.00-1-55.220, construction of 13 apartment buildings / 122 units (Centerpointe Phase III)
  - ➤ CPN-006-14 Venezia for Goliber, 3414 Poplar Beach Road, TM#98.15-1-7.100, amendment to resolution from 4/22 approval

#### **STAFF REPORTS:**

- □ Town Consulting Engineer
- □ Planning Board Attorney
- □ Director of Development
- Board Member Reports
- □ Topics

#### **UPCOMING APPLICATIONS**

#### ADJOURNMENT

#### TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION VENEZIA ASSOCIATES – RANDALL FARNSWORTH (RANDALL GMC) 5375 THOMAS ROAD

CPN 018-14 TM# 70.06-1-62.110 & 70.06-1-62.210 SEOR - DESGINATING LEAD AGENCY

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering a Single-Stage Site Plan approval for the construction a  $\pm$  21,000 sf car dealership (Randall Farnsworth GMC) on a 2.178 corner parcel along the west side NYS Route 332 and south side of Thomas Road in the Town of Canandaigua within the CC zoning district as described in the Final Site Plans last revised May 12, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of May 13, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has determined the proposed Single-Stage Site Plan Application (CPN 018-14) referenced above to be an Unlisted Action under Part 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has on Tuesday, March 25, 2014 declared its intent to be designated the Lead Agency for the proposed Action under the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has provided written notices to this effect to the involved and interested agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has not received any written objections from the involved agencies to the Board's being designated as the lead agency under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has previously determined that it is the most appropriate agency to insure the coordination of this Action and for making the determination of significance thereon under the SEQR Regulations.

as the lead agency for the Action identified above herein; The above Resolution was offered by \_\_\_\_\_ and seconded by \_\_\_\_ at a regular

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board does hereby designate itself

scheduled Planning Board Meeting held on May 13, 2014. Following discussion, a voice vote was recorded: Richard Gentry -

Charles Oyler -

Dan O'Bine -

Ryan Staychock -

Thomas Schwartz -

I. Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board, do hereby attest to the accuracy of the above resolution being acted upon and recorded in the minutes of the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board for the May 13, 2014 meeting.

Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board

#### TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION VENEZIA ASSOCIATES – RANDALL FARNSWORTH (RANDALL GMC) 5375 THOMAS ROAD

CPN 018-14 TM# 70.06-1-62.110 & 70.06-1-62.210 SEQR – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) has determined the proposed Single-Stage Site Plan Application (CPN 018-14) referenced above, hereinafter referred to as Action, to be an Unlisted Action under Part 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has completed the public review and comment period provided for under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has designated itself as lead agency under the SEQR Regulations for making the determination of significance upon said action; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has given consideration to the criteria for determining significance as set forth in Section 617.7 (c) (1) of the SEQR Regulations, the information contained in Part I of the Full Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) submitted with this application, and the letter dated April 12, 2014 and received May 12, 2014 from Venezia and Associates; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has completed Part 2 and Part 3 of the Full EAF.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Planning Board has reasonably concluded the following impacts are expected to result from the proposed Action, when compared against the criteria in Section 617.7 (c):

- (i) there will not be a substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
- (ii) there will not be large quantities of vegetation or fauna removed from the site or destroyed as the result of the proposed Action; there will not be substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species as the result of the proposed Action; there will not be a significant impact upon habitat areas on the site; there are no known threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or, are there any other significant adverse impacts to natural resources on the site;
- (iii) there are no known Critical Environmental Area(s) on the site which will be impaired as the result of the proposed Action;
- (iv) the overall density of the site is consistent with the Town's Comprehensive Plan land use recommendations;
- (v) the site is within an identified archaeological sensitive area and the applicant has coordinated with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), and a "No Effect" letter was received:
- (vi) there will <u>not</u> be an increase in the use of either the quantity or type of energy resulting from the proposed Action;
- (vii) there will <u>not</u> be any hazard created to human health;

#### TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION VENEZIA ASSOCIATES – RANDALL FARNSWORTH (RANDALL GMC) 5375 THOMAS ROAD

CPN 018-14 TM# 70.06-1-62.110 & 70.06-1-62.210 SEQR – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

- (viii) there will <u>not</u> be a change in the use of active agricultural lands that receive an agricultural use tax exemption or that will ultimately result in the loss of ten acres of such productive farmland;
- (ix) there will <u>not</u> be a larger number of persons attracted to the site for more than a few days when compared to the number of persons who would come to the site absent the Action;
- (x) there will <u>not</u> be created a material demand for other Actions that would result in one of the above consequences;
- (xi) there will <u>not</u> be changes in two or more of the elements of the environment that when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact; and
- (xii) there are <u>not</u> two or more related Actions which would have a significant impact on the environment.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** based upon the information and analysis above and the supporting documentation referenced above, the proposed Action **WILL NOT** result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED THAT** the Planning Board does hereby make a Determination of Non-Significance upon said Action and directs the Planning Board Chairman to sign and date the Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3, issue the Negative Declaration Form, and to file copies thereof as provided for under the SEQR Regulations.

egular
e vote
above anning

# Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

#### Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)  If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NO		YES
CR DATE A REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	Ø	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	Ø	
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	Ø	
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	Ø	
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli	Ø	
h. Other impacts:		Ø	

2. Impact on Geological Features  The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	<b>∠</b> NO		YES
Andrew Charles Comments Commen	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark.  Specific feature:	E3c	ם	ם
c. Other impacts:			а
3. Impacts on Surface Water  The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h)  If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□no		YES
The second of th	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body,	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	Ø	
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	Ø	
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	Ø	
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	Ø	
<ul> <li>h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.</li> </ul>	D2e	Ø	
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	Ø	
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	Ø	

1. (	Other impacts:		Ø	
-				
4.	Impact on groundwater  The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)  If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	☑NO er.		YES
	versummer (emploide)	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
	The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	0	מ
,	Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer.  Cite Source:	D2c	C.f	O
	The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		D
d. ′	The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l	a	П
	The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	a	D
	The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	G	מ
	The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	П	ò
h.	Other impacts:		п	а
5.	Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	<b>✓</b> NC		YES
	PORTUGUES CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE STA	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
а. Т	The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	П	ø
b. 7	The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	D	n
с. Т	The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	O	Q
	The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	n	n
e. T	the proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		1
	There is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, rupgrade?	Ele	0	a

g. Other impacts:		O	
		,	
6. Impacts on Air  The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source.  (See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g)  If "Yes", answer questions a -f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	✓NC		YES
Administration of the property	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: <ol> <li>More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> <li>More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)</li> <li>More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)</li> <li>More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>)</li> <li>More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions</li> <li>43 tons/year or more of methane</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	C	-13
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	D	а
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	O	а
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		- 10
f. Other impacts:			0
7. Impact on Plants and Animals	_'		
The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. 1 If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	NO	YES
The state of the s	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	а	ci
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	C	a
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	п	a
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	Е2р	O	Ü

f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any		13	О
portion of a designated significant natural community.  Source:	E2n	а	
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	п	О
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat.  Habitat type & information source:	E1b	D.	.0
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	П	D
j. Other impacts:		D	o
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources  The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. at If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	and b.)	□NO	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b	Z	
14 1 5 Datid Classification System.			
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	Ela, Elb	EZI	1-1
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	E1a, Elb E3b	[Z]	
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10</li> </ul>	E3b	Ø	0
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land</li> </ul>	E3b E1b, E3a	Ø	0
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.</li> <li>f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development</li> </ul>	E3b  E1b, E3a  El a, E1b  C2c, C3,	Z    Z    Z    Z	

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources  The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	<b>√</b> N0	) [	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	П	n
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	ta	0
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	C)	: :
<ul><li>d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:</li><li>i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities</li></ul>	E3h E2q, E1c		מם
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	D	D
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project:  0-1/2 mile ½-3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		0
g. Other impacts:		D	0
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources  The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.		) <b>[</b> /	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E3e	Ø	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	Z	
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.  Source:	E3g	Ø	

d. Other impacts:			
e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
<ul> <li>The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.</li> </ul>	E3e, E3g, E3f	Ø	
The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	Ø	
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	Ø	
<ol> <li>Impact on Open Space and Recreation         The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan.         (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.)         If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.     </li> </ol>	<b>√</b> N0		YES
_ PR T	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p	O	
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		C
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	ľ <b>i</b>	O
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	Ö	
e. Other impacts:		C	<b>D</b> .
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas  The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	✓ NO	o 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	EJ	0
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	to,	ם
c. Other impacts:		0	0

13. Impact on Transportation  The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation system (See Part 1. D.2.j)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 14.	s. $\square$ N	0 🗸	YES
The state of the s	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	₩.	
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	Ø	
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	Ø	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	62	
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	Ø	
f. Other impacts:		Ø	
14. Impact on Energy  The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy.  (See Part 1. D.2.k)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	<b>√</b> No	0 🗌	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		
<ul> <li>The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</li> </ul>	D1f, D1q, D2k	D	D
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k		D
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	מ	ū
e. Other Impacts:			
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor light (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.			YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.</li> </ul>	D2m	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D20	[7]	

f. Other impacts:		Ø	
16. Impact on Human Health  The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. ar	√N(	D [	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	Eld	D	TI
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh		O
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh		Ц
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh	0	a
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh	а	
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	a	a
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	Ü	a
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	Ω,	П
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	O	O
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	Ø	0
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	Elf, Elg	b	п
<ol> <li>The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.</li> </ol>	D2s, E1f, D2r	O	Ω
m. Other impacts:			

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.

area conditions.

e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing

 $\square$ 

 $\mathbf{Z}$ 

D2n

D2n, E1a

17. Consistency with Community Plans  The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.  (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.	✓NO		YES
If Tes , answer questions a - n. If No , go to section 16.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		0
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	D	D
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	n	П
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb	а	П
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	Ω	D
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	Ci	Q
h. Other:		D	b
18. Consistency with Community Character  The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.  (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	✓NO Relevant		/ES
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.  e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a  C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

## TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION VENEZIA ASSOCIATES – RANDALL FARNSWORTH (RANDALL GMC) THOMAS ROAD

#### CPN 018-14 TM# 70.06-1-62.110 & 70.06-1-62.210 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL - CONTINUATION

# FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM (EAF) PART 3 & SUPPORTING INFORMATION TO THE EAF PART 2

(Provide a detailed response/ supporting information on a separate sheet)

#### **IDENTIFIED POTENTIAL SMALL IMPACT**

#### 1. IMPACT ON LAND

- f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).
  - The applicant should discuss how the project site will be protected during construction. Detail how the construction sequence, and the proposed stormwater pollution prevention measures, drainage improvements (including green infrastructure measures), and landscaping to be provided to mitigate this potential impact for during and after construction.
- \* All other items within this section have been identified as No Impact.

#### **IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER**

- h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.
  - Explain how the project was designed to meet and will be constructed in accordance with the Town of Canandaigua Town Code, the Town of Canandaigua Site Design and Development Criteria Manual and NYSDEC Stormwater Management Design Manual.
  - Explain how a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be provided and followed during construction.
- i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.
  - The applicant should explain where the identified wetlands are located as per the EAF Part 1 (Section E.2, h) and how they will be impacted during construction.
  - Explain how the project was designed to meet and will be constructed in accordance with the Town of Canandaigua Town Code, the Town of Canandaigua Site Design and Development Criteria Manual and NYSDEC Stormwater Management Design Manual.
  - \* All other items within this section have been identified as No Impact.

## TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION VENEZIA ASSOCIATES – RANDALL FARNSWORTH (RANDALL GMC) THOMAS ROAD

CPN 018-14 TM# 70.06-1-62.110 & 70.06-1-62.210 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL - CONTINUATION

#### 10. IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

- b. Proposed Action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Archeological Site Inventory.
  - According to the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, the project site
    is within a designated archaeological sensitive area. The applicant is to coordinate with
    SHPO and provide all correspondences to the Town of Canandaigua.
  - A "No Effect" letter from the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) is required prior to issuance of building permits.
- \* All other items within this section have been identified as No Impact.

## 13. IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

#### e. Other Impacts.

- The proposed project includes the construction of a new car dealership on an existing parcel located on the corner of Thomas Road and NYS Route 332. The applicant should clarify how traffic will/will not be impacted along Thomas Road and NYS Route 332 during and after construction of this project.
- The applicant should discuss that no additional curb cuts onto NYS Route 332 are requested as part of this project and that NYSDOT has been contacted.
- \* All other items within this section have been identified as No Impact.

## 15. IMPACT ON NOISE, ODOR, AND LIGHT

- a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.
  - Discuss when and how often this action would produce noise levels above the levels established by the Town.

#### f. Other Impacts. (Lighting)

- The applicant should discuss the proposed site and building lighting improvements and how they will be designed to meet the Town of Canandaigua "dark sky" requirements.
- \* All other items within this section have been identified as No Impact.



Town of Canandaigua Planning Board C/O Tom Schwartz 5440 Route & 20 Canandaigua N.Y. 14424 April 12, 2014

RE: New Randall GMC Building Site

Dear Sirs and Madams:

As you are aware Randy Farnsworth is applying to the Town for a site plan to construct a new GMC Dealer at 5375 Thomas Road. Supporting information has been requested as part of the EAF Part 2 review and this letter is submitted as a response.

#### **Impact On Land**

The project site has existing improvements and landscaping that is already in place and will remain in place during and after construction. Additional landscaping is being proposed and has been submitted as part of the application. The construction site will be fenced off from the rest of the parcel and construction will occur mainly in the northwest corner of the parcel where the new building is situated.

#### **Impact on Surface Water**

The project is in conformance with Guidelines and zoning requirements per Town of Canandaigua regulations and Manuals. The site is already populated with the components necessary to develop a car dealership.

The additional runoff that will be produced by the new project is proposed to be placed into a biorention area beneath the new customer parking area and then released into existing storm drain inlets that will be protected during construction. A SWPPP plan has been prepared and submitted as part of the application.

#### Impact on Water Bodies Downstream of Site

The nearby wetlands area is located westerly and uphill from our site and our runoff will have no impact on theses areas.

The Storm runoff after leaving our site heads easterly and southeasterly to large detention ponds created during the reconstruction of Route 332 during the late 1990's.

#### Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources

A no impact letter State Historic Preservation Office was received and has been submitted to the Town.

#### **Impact on Transportation**

The owner of the parcel owns additional vacant land to the southwest of this project, fronting on Sommers Drive that will be utilized to minimize the impact on Thomas Road. There will be no impact on Route 332.

## Impact on Noise Odor and Light

Normal construction activity during daytime hours will be the only noise generated on the site during the construction process

#### Lighting

Additional Lighting has been proposed and is shown on the site plan. All lighting will comply with the Town of Canandaigua dark sky requirements.

Sincerely,

Rocco A. Venezia, L.S.



## New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation

Andrew M. Cuomo Governor

> Rose Harvey Commissioner

Division for Historic Preservation P.O. Box 189, Waterford, New York 12188-0189 518-237-8643

May 6, 2014

Thomas Schwartz
Town of Canandaigua
5440 Routes 5 & 20
Canandaigua, New York 14424

Re:

**SEORA** 

Randall Farnsworth GMC Car Dealership NYS Route 332 & Thomas Road Town of Canandaigua, Ontario County

14PR01350

Dear Mr. Schwartz:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP). We have reviewed the evidence of previous ground disturbance in accordance with the New York State Historic Preservation Act of 1980 (Section 14.09 of the New York Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law). These comments are those of the Division for Historic Preservation and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources.

Based upon this review, it is the OPRHP's opinion that your project will have No Impact upon cultural resources in or eligible for inclusion in the State and National Register of Historic Places.

If further correspondence is required regarding this project, please be sure to refer to the OPRHP Project Review (PR) number noted above.

Sincerely,

Ruth L. Pierpont

Deputy Commissioner for Historic Preservation

WHA C. Perport

cc. Rocco Venezia, Venezia Associates (via email only)

## CPN 016-14 & 025-14 TM# 70.00-1-18.210 & 70.06-1-18.220

## SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL SEOR – DESGINATING LEAD AGENCY

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering a Single-Stage Site Plan approval for the construction of (7) apartment buildings (32 units) with garages on Lot 2, a 4.3-acre parcel located at the northeast corner of Macedon Road and Parkside Drive in the Town of Canandaigua within the MR, multiple residential zoning district as described in the Site Plans dated April 25, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of May 13, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has determined the proposed Single-Stage Site Plan Application (CPN 016-14 & 025-14) referenced above to be an Unlisted Action under Part 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has on Tuesday, April 8, 2014 declared its intent to be designated the Lead Agency for the proposed Action under the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has provided written notices to this effect to the involved and interested agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has not received any written objections from the involved agencies to the Board's being designated as the lead agency under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has previously determined that it is the most appropriate agency to insure the coordination of this Action and for making the determination of significance thereon under the SEQR Regulations.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the Planning Board does hereby designate itself as the lead agency for the Action identified above herein;

The above Resolution was offered by	and secon	nded by	at a regular
scheduled Planning Board Meeting held on M	1ay 13, 2014. I	Following discuss	sion, a voice vote
was recorded:			
P. 1 1 0			

- Richard Gentry -
  - Charles Oyler -
    - Dan O'Bine -
- Ryan Staychock -
- Thomas Schwartz -

I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board,	, do hereby attest to the accuracy of the above
resolution being acted upon and recorded in the	e minutes of the Town of Canandaigua Planning
Board for the May 13, 2014 meeting.	

	L.	S.
Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board		

## CPN 016-14 & 025-14 TM# 70.00-1-18.210 & 70.06-1-18.220 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL SEQR – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) has determined the proposed Single-Stage Site Plan Application (CPN 016-14 & 025-14) referenced above, hereinafter referred to as Action, to be an Unlisted Action under Part 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has completed the public review and comment period provided for under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has designated itself as lead agency under the SEQR Regulations for making the determination of significance upon said action; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has given consideration to the criteria for determining significance as set forth in Section 617.7 (c) (1) of the SEQR Regulations, the information contained in Part I of the Full Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) submitted with this application, and the letter dated May 12, 2014 from TY LIN International; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has completed Part 2 and Part 3 of the Full EAF.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board has reasonably concluded the following impacts are expected to result from the proposed Action, when compared against the criteria in Section 617.7 (c):

- (i) there will not be a substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
- (ii) there will not be large quantities of vegetation or fauna removed from the site or destroyed as the result of the proposed Action; there will not be substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species as the result of the proposed Action; there will not be a significant impact upon habitat areas on the site; there are no known threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or, are there any other significant adverse impacts to natural resources on the site;
- (iii) there are no known Critical Environmental Area(s) on the site which will be impaired as the result of the proposed Action;
- (iv) the overall density of the site is consistent with the Town's Comprehensive Plan land use recommendations;
- (v) the site is within an identified archaeological sensitive area and the applicant is working with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to resolve these potential impacts;
- (vi) there will <u>not</u> be an increase in the use of either the quantity or type of energy resulting from the proposed Action;
- (vii) there will not be any hazard created to human health;

## CPN 016-14 & 025-14 TM# 70.00-1-18.210 & 70.06-1-18.220 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL SEQR – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

- (viii) there will <u>not</u> be a change in the use of active agricultural lands that receive an agricultural use tax exemption or that will ultimately result in the loss of ten acres of such productive farmland;
- (ix) there will <u>not</u> be a larger number of persons attracted to the site for more than a few days when compared to the number of persons who would come to the site absent the Action;
- (x) there will <u>not</u> be created a material demand for other Actions that would result in one of the above consequences;
- (xi) there will <u>not</u> be changes in two or more of the elements of the environment that when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact; and
- (xii) there are <u>not</u> two or more related Actions which would have a significant impact on the environment.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** based upon the information and analysis above and the supporting documentation referenced above, the proposed Action **WILL NOT** result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED THAT** the Planning Board does hereby make a Determination of Non-Significance upon said Action and directs the Planning Board Chairman to sign and date the Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3, issue the Negative Declaration Form, and to file copies thereof as provided for under the SEQR Regulations.

The above Resolution was offered by	and seconded by	at a regular
scheduled Planning Board Meeting held on Mwas recorded:	fay 13, 2014. Following of	liscussion, a voice vote
Richard Gentry - Charles Oyler - Dan O'Bine -		
Ryan Staychock - Thomas Schwartz -		
I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Boar resolution being acted upon and recorded in t Board for the May 13, 2014 meeting.	•	•
Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board	S.	

# Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

#### Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)  If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	YES  Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	Z	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	Ø	
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	Ø	
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	Ø	
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i	Ø	
h. Other impacts:		Ø	

<b>RESET F</b>	ORM
----------------	-----

2. Impact on Geological Features  The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	it 🔽 NC		YES
The contribution of the William Public of States and St	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		П
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark.  Specific feature:	E3c	B	О
c. Other impacts:		ם	О
3. Impacts on Surface Water  The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h)  If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	<b>∠</b> NC	) 🗆	YES
##YEZ : AHCT Promited by antimental program in con-	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	ū	
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	Ø	a
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		ti ti
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	ū	Ò
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	0	
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	C	t:i
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	O	C)
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	0	
The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	D	a
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	0	a
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d		а

1. 0	Other impacts:		0	
4.	Impact on groundwater  The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifo (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)  If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	☑NO er,		YES
	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	D	п
	Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer.  Cite Source:	D2c	n	D
	The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	D	ם
d.	The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l	ם	D
	The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	0	П
f.	The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	а	n
	The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	О	Ŋ
h.	Other impacts:		ם	D
5.	Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	<b>∠</b> NC		YES
	April 60 - Chinare Chi	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	O	a
b.	The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		a
c.	The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		О
d.	The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	D	D
e.	The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	D	8
	If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e	'n	a

g. Other impacts:		q	
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g)	<b>√</b> No		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: <ol> <li>i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> <li>ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)</li> <li>iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)</li> <li>iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>)</li> <li>v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions</li> <li>vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g	0 0	
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		D
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	0	a
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	Ö	Ď.
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	0	а
f. Other impacts:			ō
7. Impact on Plants and Animals  The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. 1  If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	<b>⊘</b> NO	□YES
The second of the second secon	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E20	n	D
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	п	T.
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	Ü	Ľi
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government	E2p	О	0

		T T	
e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	CI CI	O
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community.  Source:	E2n		П
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		D
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat.  Habitat type & information source:	E1b	D	
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	O	а
j. Other impacts:		a	П
		-	
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources  The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	nd b.)	Мо	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b  E1b, E3a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.</li> <li>f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b  E1b, E3a  El a, E1b  C2c, C3,	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources  The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	N	0 [	YES
TEL	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	b	a
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	п	п
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:  i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)  ii. Year round	E3h	n	0
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:	E3h		
Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work     Recreational or tourism based activities	E2q, E1c	D	
		О	
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	(I)	0
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project:  0-1/2 mile     ½ -3 mile     3-5 mile     5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	ä	0
g. Other impacts:		П	
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources  The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	□N0	o 🔽	YES
Constant in the contract of th	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E3e	Z	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	121	
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.  Source:	E3g	Ø	

d. Other impacts:		I√J	
e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
<ul> <li>The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.</li> </ul>	E3e, E3g, E3f	Ø	
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	Ø	
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation  The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan.  (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.)	<b>√</b> N0	o 🔲	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p	0	D
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2g	0	a
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	O	O
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	D	D
e. Other impacts:			D
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas  The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	<b>√</b> N	0 [	] yes
Assessed in the second	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	О	О
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	п	
c. Other impacts:		П	<b>45</b>
	1	J	

13. Impact on Transportation  The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation system:  (See Part 1. D.2.j)  If "Vos" groups quantions of the "Nos" and a Continuate.	s. IN	0	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 14.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	Ø	
<ul> <li>The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.</li> </ul>	D2j	Ø	
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	$\square$	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	Ø	
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	Ø	
f. Other impacts:		Ø	
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	Relevant	No, or	YES Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	D	
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	0	CI
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	Dlg	О	D
e. Other Impacts:			
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor light (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)  If "Yes", answer questions a -f. If "No", go to Section 16.	iting. NC	) [	YES
end traces   10mins (characters)   1 mins   10mins   10mi	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.</li> </ul>	D2m		
<ul> <li>The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.</li> </ul>	D2m, E1d	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	[2]	

area conditions.			
f. Other impacts:		Ø	
16. Impact on Human Health  The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. an If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.	ad h.)	) []	YES
The second of th	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	<b>6</b>	a
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh	n	G
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh	0	О
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh	О	а
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh	C)	Ø
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	П	Д
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	O	а
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f		D
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		D
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	D	а
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	п	п
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	CI	а
m. Other impacts:			

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.

e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing

D2n

D2n, E1a

Z

V

17. Consistency with Community Plans  The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.  (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.	✓NO		YES
The state of the s	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).</li> </ul>	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	þ	Ü
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	·O	а
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	D	П
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb	L.i	D
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	D	ti
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	O	n
h. Other:		а	
10 0			
18. Consistency with Community Character  The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	✓ NO		YES
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact may occur	YES  Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.  e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a  C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

RESET FULL FORM

CPN 016-14 & 025-14 TM# 70.00-1-18.210 & 70.06-1-18.220 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

# FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM (EAF) PART 3 & SUPPORTING INFORMATION TO THE EAF PART 2

(Provide a detailed response/ supporting information on a separate sheet)

#### **IDENTIFIED POTENTIAL SMALL IMPACT**

### 1. IMPACT ON LAND

- f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).
  - Discuss how the project site will be protected during construction. Detail the proposed erosion and sediment control measures, drainage improvements (including green infrastructure measures), and landscaping to be provided to mitigate this potential impact for during and after construction.
  - Explain how the project will be designed to meet the Town of Canandaigua and NYSDEC drainage and erosion & sediment control requirements.
- \* All other items within this section have been identified as No Impact.

## 10. IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

- b. Proposed Action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Archeological Site Inventory.
  - According to the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, the project site
    is within a designated archaeological sensitive area. The applicant is to coordinate with
    SHPO and provide all correspondences to the Town of Canandaigua.
  - A "No Effect" letter from the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) is required prior to issuance of building permits.
- \* All other items within this section have been identified as No Impact.

## 13. IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

- e. Other Impacts.
  - The proposed project includes the construction of (7) new apartment buildings, 32 units, and 78 parking spaces which includes the garages, driveways, and off-street parking.

CPN 016-14 & 025-14 TM# 70.00-1-18.210 & 70.06-1-18.220 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

# FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM (EAF) PART 3 & SUPPORTING INFORMATION TO THE EAF PART 2 (Continued)

- Construction vehicles will be entering the site from the private drive through the existing development (Phase 1 & Phase II Candlewood Apartments) for the duration of construction of Phase III. The applicant should clarify how the existing residents will/will not be impacted during and after construction.
- The applicant should clarify how County Road 28 will/will not be impacted during and after construction.
- \* All other items within this section have been identified as No Impact.

## 15. IMPACT ON NOISE, ODOR, AND LIGHT

- a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.
  - Discuss when and how often this action would produce noise levels above the levels established by the Town.
- \* All other items within this section have been identified as No Impact.

#### TYLIN INTERNATIONAL

engineers | planners | scientists

May 12, 2014

Town of Canandaigua 5440 Route 5 & 20 West Canandaigua, New York 14424

ATTN: Thomas Schwartz

Planning Board Chairman

RE: Final Single-Stage Site Plan Review

Candlewood Apartments - Phase III

2450 County Road 28

TAX MAP # 70.00-1-18.210 & .220

Town of Canandaigua

Dear Mr. Schwartz:

On behalf of DLH Candlewood, LLC, we are submitting the following information for Final Single-Stage Site Plan review for the Candlewood Apartments – Phase III project:

- (6) Copies of the this response letter
- (6) Copies of the revised SEQR form

The SEQR form has been revised per the comments of MRB provided in an email dated May 5<sup>th</sup>, 2014. The responses to the Part 2 identified potential small impacts are as follows:

#### 1. IMPACT ON LAND

- f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).
  - Discuss how the project site will be protected during construction. Detail the proposed erosion and sediment control measures, drainage improvements (including green infrastructure measures), and landscaping to be provided to mitigate this potential impact for during and after construction.

The proposed site will employ many 'Best Management Practices' (BMPs) from the New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control Manual ("bluebook"). These practices are designed to contain the disturbed area and direct runoff from the disturbed area to sediment trapping practices before it is discharged from the site. During construction a temporary sediment basin will provide suspended sediment removal to the stormwater before it leaves the site. Temporary swales and

dikes will be constructed to direct runoff to the sediment basin. The stormwater management basin will be used as a sediment basin during construction. The sediment basins are sized in compliance with the bluebook. Silt fence will be used to control overland sheet flow. Inlet protection will be installed on catch basins as they are installed. A temporary stabilized construction entrance and concrete wash station will minimize sediment tracking offsite. Sediment control is largely dependent on the diligence applied to maintenance and complying with the Stormwater Management Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Compliance includes items such as temporary and permanent sediment, road sweeping, etc.

• Explain how the project will be designed to meet the Town of Canandaigua and NYSDEC drainage and erosion & sediment control requirements.
The project meets the Town and NYSDEC threshold for requiring a SWPPP. The SWPPP meets both authorities' requirements. The runoff reduction volume (RRV) requirement is met through the disconnection of impervious rooftop areas. The water quality volume (WQv) requirement is met through the stormwater management pond (SMP) permanent pool of water in the forebay and deep pool. The Channel Protection volume (CPv) requirement is met through the SMP which discharges the 1-year storm event completely through a 3-inch orifice via the SMP's outlet structure. Attenation of the post-developed 10-yr and 100-yr runoff rates to pre-developed conditions will be achieved via the SMP with the outlet structure.

\* All other items within this section have been identified as - No Impact.

#### 10. IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

- Proposed Action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Archeological Site Inventory.
  - According to the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, the project site is
    within a designated archaeological sensitive area. The applicant is to coordinate with SHPO
    and provide all correspondences to the Town of Canandaigua.

The applicant retained the services of Archeological Consultants Inc. to complete a Phase I archeological investigation. The result of that research was that nothing of significance was discovered during the process. The consultant will prepare a report summarizing their findings which will be submitted to SHPO for their concurrence. Copies of all documentation will be provided to the Town for their records.

• A "No Effect" letter from the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) is required prior to issuance of building permits.

A copy of the response letter received from SHPO will be provided to the Town once received.

\* All other items within this section have been identified as - No Impact.

#### 13. IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

#### e. Other Impacts.

- The proposed project includes the construction of (7) new apartment buildings, 32 units, and 78 parking spaces which includes the garages, driveways, and off-street parking.

  The result of the proposed construction will not have any significant adverse traffic impacts on the existing private drive or Route 28 roadway.
- Construction vehicles will be entering the site from the private drive through the existing development (Phase 1 & Phase II Candlewood Apartments) for the duration of construction of Phase III. The applicant should clarify how the existing residents will/will not be impacted during and after construction.
  During construction, there will be some minor impacts to existing residents in regards to additional noise and potential dust from construction vehicles on the roadway. A stabilized construction entrance will be provided at the point of ingress to Phase III, which should eliminate or greatly minimize any significant mud from being tracked onto the private drive. After construction is completed, there will not be any significant adverse traffic impacts to the existing residents as a result of an additional (32) units.
- The applicant should clarify how County Road 28 will/will not be impacted during and after construction.
  There will be no significant impacts to County Route 28 during or after construction is complete. Mud will be captured by the stabilized construction at the ingress point of Phase III. After construction, the additional turning movements as a result of the additional (32) units is insignificant.

\* All other items within this section have been identified as - No Impact.

#### 15. IMPACT ON NOISE, ODOR, AND LIGHT

a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.

• Discuss when and how often this action would produce noise levels above the levels established by the Town.

During construction, there will be impacts on noise levels above what residents are typically used to experiencing. This noise will be the result of additional construction vehicles traveling on the private drive, construction equipment being utilized during the earthwork operations\infrastructure construction and further during the construction of the apartment buildings (pneumatic hammers, power saws, etc.). These higher noise levels are typical with any construction project and will vary day to day, depending on the stage of work. The contractor will adhere to the working hours allowed by the Town. There is an on-site property manager that will notify the residents in writing in advance of construction starting. Any complaints will be handled by the property manager.

\* All other items within this section have been identified as - No Impact,

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

T.Y. Lin International

Randy Bebout.

RLB/ams

w/attachments

Cc:

DLH Properties, Inc, Dana Hoffmann Woods, Oviatt, Gilman, LLP, Betsy Brugg MRB Group, Lance Brabant, CPESC

 $T: \noindent \$ 

## TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD ACTION RESOLUTION – SURETY RELEASE

APPLICANT(S): SCOTT MORRELL - MORRELL BUILDERS PROJECT NAME – LAKEWOOD MEADOWS, SECTION 8B RELEASE – LETTER OF CREDIT RELEASE #1

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) has received a completed and signed Town of Canandaigua Surety Release Form dated May 2, 2014 and a cover letter from the Town Engineer (MRB Group) dated May 6, 2014 describing the items involved with the subject release of the Surety for this project; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has considered the requested release and the amount of funds associated therewith; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board is satisfied with the details described in the requested release documents referenced above herein.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Planning Board does hereby approve of the requested release of \$18,630.00 and for the items specified on said documents.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Planning Board Chairperson is hereby directed to sign and date the Surety Release Form and transmits said documents along with a copy of this resolution to the Town Supervisor for processing the release of the amount specified in said documents.

The above resolution was offered by	and seconded by	at a meeting of
the Planning Board held on Tuesday, May 13, 2014.	Following discussion	thereon, the following roll
call vote was taken and recorded:		
Richard Gentry -		
Charles Oyler -		
Dan O'Bine -		
Ryan Staychock -		
Thomas Schwartz -		
I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board, do her being acted upon and recorded in the minutes of the May 13, 2014 meeting.	•	•
L. S.		
Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board		

## TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION PHILIP & AMANDA GOLIBER – 3414 POPLAR BEACH CPN 006-14 TM# 98.15-1-7.100 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

WHEREAS, the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board) is considering a Single-Stage Site Plan approval for the tear-down of an existing house and garage for the construction of a proposed 2,314 square foot two-story single-family dwelling with a 462 square foot attached garage and 354 square feet of bonus area above the garage on a 7,477 SF (0.172 ac) parcel located at 3414 Poplar Beach in the RLD zoning district and as described on the Site Plans last revised March 18, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of May 13, 2014 (the current application), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board completed a formal review of the proposed development in compliance with the implementing regulations of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board classified the above referenced Action to be a Type II Action under Section 617.5 (c) of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, Type II Actions are not subject to further review under Part 617 of the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has compiled the attached list of findings to be kept on file with the application in the Town Development Office, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Planning Board hereby  $\square$  Approves without Conditions; X Approves with the following Conditions; or  $\square$  Denies the application for the following reasons:

- 1. A landscaping surety in the amount to be determined by the Town Code Enforcement Officer / Town Engineer is to be provided and accepted by the Town Board prior to the issuance of any permits.
- 2. A soil erosion surety in the amount to be determined by the Town Code Enforcement Officer / Town Engineer shall be provided to and accepted by the Town Board prior to the issuance of any permits.
- 3. The site plans are to be revised to label the date when the area variances were granted by the ZBA (April 15, 2014).
- 4. The site plans are to be revised to update the proposed dimensions labeled under the heading Dimensional Requirements to match those approved by the ZBA at the April 15, 2014 Board meeting.
- 5. The site plans are to be revised to label the square footage of the proposed two-story house.
- 6. The Canandaigua Lake County Sewer District (CLCSD) approval letter regarding their review of the sanitary sewer improvements is required to be provided to the Development Office prior to the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the site plans.
- 7. The Canandaigua-Hopewell Water District Superintendent approval letter regarding his review of the water service improvements is required to be provided to the Development Office prior to the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the site plans.
- 8. The Town CEO is to determine if a "Permit Application for Development in the Flood Hazard Areas" is required as the property is within the RLD. If so, the permit is to be

## TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION PHILIP & AMANDA GOLIBER – 3414 POPLAR BEACH CPN 006-14 TM# 98.15-1-7.100 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

completed and submitted to the Development Office for review by the Town CEO prior to issuance of any Building Permits.

- 9. The calculation of the average finish grade as well as the height of the proposed structures measured from the average finished grade to the peak of the structure are to be added the elevation drawings prior to the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the site plans.
- 10. Site Plan Approval with conditions specified above herein is valid for a period of 180 days from today. Once all conditions of Site Plan Approval have been met and shown on revised drawings including the revision dates, the Planning Board Chairperson will then sign the Site Plans.
- 11. The site plans are to be revised to provide landscaping that meets with the Planning Board Chairman's approval prior to the Planning Board Chairman's signature being affixed to the final site plans.
- 12. The site plans are to be revised to provide a closed drainage system tying in the roof runoff in lieu of splash blocks (sheet flow) on the south side of the proposed house either through conveyance of the existing drainage system with an approved easement or design of a new drainage system.
- 13. The grading along the north side of the house is to be revised to convey the drainage runoff produced by the splash blocks away from the neighboring properties and towards to the lake.

The above Resolution was offered by and seconded by at scheduled Planning Board Meeting held on April 22, 2014. Following discussion, a voice recorded:	
Richard Gentry - Charles Oyler - Dan O'Bine -	
Ryan Staychock - Thomas Schwartz -	
I, Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board, do hereby attest to the accuracy of the resolution being acted upon and recorded in the minutes of the Town of Canandaigua Pla Board for the May 13, 2014 meeting.	
Kathleen Gingerich, Secretary of the Board	

## TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD FINDINGS PHILIP & AMANDA GOLIBER – 3414 POPLAR BEACH CPN 006-14 TM# 98.15-1-7.100 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

- 1. The applicant has submitted plans for single-stage site approval for a tear-down of an existing house and garage for the construction of a proposed 2,314 square foot two-story single-family dwelling with a 462 square foot attached garage and 354 square feet of bonus area above the garage.
- 2. The proposed project is located on a 7,477 SF (0.172 ac) parcel located at 3414 Poplar Beach in the RLD zoning district.
- 3. The above referenced information is based on the Site Plans last revised March 18, 2014 and all other relevant information submitted as of April 22, 2014.
- 4. The applicant is proposing to reuse the existing water service.
- 5. The applicant is proposing to disconnect the existing sanitary sewer service and make a new connection to the existing sanitary sewer main along Poplar Beach Road.
- 6. The project was reviewed in compliance with applicable procedural requirements including a coordinated review pursuant to State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and the Town of Canandaigua Planning Board's Rules of Procedure.
- 7. The Planning Board has classified the project as a Type II Action under Section 617.5 (c) of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations.
- 8. Type II Actions are not subject to further review under Part 617 of the SEQR Regulations.
- 9. This application was referred to the following agencies and Staff for review and comment:
  - John Berry, Canandaigua Lake County Sewer District
  - Jim Fletcher, Town of Canandaigua Highway Superintendent
  - Ken Potter, Canandaigua-Hopewell Water District Superintendent
  - Mark Marentette, Chief of Canandaigua City Fire Department
  - George Barden, Canandaigua Lake Watershed Inspector
  - Kevin Olvany, Canandaigua Lake Watershed program Manager
  - Dale Zukaitis, Code Enforcement Officer
- 10. A referral to the Ontario County Planning Board (OCPB) was not required (Exemption List #9).
- 11. This application was submitted to the ZBA for review at the February 18, 2014 meeting.
- 12. The ZBA reviewed the following Area Variance requests:
  - Area variance for the front yard setback from Poplar Beach Road requesting 56 feet, where 60 feet is required.
  - Area variance for the left side yard setback requesting 4 feet, where 12 feet is required.

## TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD FINDINGS PHILIP & AMANDA GOLIBER – 3414 POPLAR BEACH CPN 006-14 TM# 98.15-1-7.100 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

- Area variance for the right side yard setback requesting 10.1 feet, where 12 feet is required.
- Area variance for the percentage of building coverage requesting 24.4%, where the maximum allowable is 15%.
- Area variance for the setback from the mean high water mark of Canandaigua Lake requesting 43 feet, where 60 feet is required.
- 13. The ZBA continued this application until the March 18, 2014 ZBA meeting to allow the applicant time to revise the site plans (center house on property).
- 14. The ZBA continued this application until the April 15, 2014 ZBA meeting as the Town must readvertise the Notice of Public Hearing due to the change in variances.
- 15. The ZBA reviewed the following revised Area Variance requests:
  - Area variance for the front yard setback from Poplar Beach Road requesting 56 feet, where 60 feet is required.
  - Area variance for the left side yard setback requesting 5 feet, where 12 feet is required.
  - Area variance for the right side yard setback requesting 9.1 feet, where 12 feet is required.
  - Area variance for the percentage of building coverage requesting 26.0%, where the maximum allowable is 15%.
  - Area variance for the setback from the mean high water mark of Canandaigua Lake requesting 41.1 feet, where 60 feet is required.
- 16. The area variances were approved at the April 15, 2014 ZBA meeting.
- 17. The proposed application is in compliance with the Zoning Law Determination dated February 11, 2014 regarding the setback requirements for a single-family dwelling in an RLD.
- 18. No comment letter was received from the Canandaigua Lake Watershed Program Manager or Inspector.
- 19. A letter was received from Venezia and Associates dated January 16, 2014 regarding compliance with the Town of Canandaigua Shoreline Development Guideline requirements.
- 20. The Planning Board has discussed the character of the proposed shoreline in relation to the Town's Shoreline Development Guideline requirements and Venezia and Associates letter dated January 16, 2014.
- 21. The Planning Board requested that additional landscaping be provided that meets with the Planning Board Chairman's approval prior to receiving signatures.
- 22. The Planning Board discussed the 10' driveway separation requirement between property lines as the driveway does not comply with this requirement.

## TOWN OF CANANDAIGUA PLANNING BOARD FINDINGS PHILIP & AMANDA GOLIBER – 3414 POPLAR BEACH CPN 006-14 TM# 98.15-1-7.100 SINGLE-STAGE SITE PLAN APPROVAL

- 23. The Zoning Officer made a zoning determination that the driveway is preexisting nonconforming and an area variance is not required.
- 24. The site plans are to be revised to provide a closed drainage system tying in the roof runoff in lieu of splash blocks (sheet flow) on the south side of the proposed house either through conveyance of the existing drainage system with an approved easement or design of a new drainage system.
- 25. The grading along the north side of the house is to be revised to convey the drainage runoff produced by the splash blocks away from the neighboring properties and towards to the lake.
- 26. The calculation of the average finish grade as well as the height of the proposed structures measured from the average finished grade to the peak of the structure are to be shown on the elevation drawings.