

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION

FOI	R:	☐ USE VARIANCE	M INTERPRETATION				
Pe	ermission for on-site inspection	for those reviewing application:	Yes No				
1.]	Name and address of the proper 6 Windham Cir	ty owner: <u>Joel M. Reise</u> Mendon NY 14506	raud Nancy E. Hymcu				
r	,	owner: <u>585 - 764 - 99</u>					
	ax #						
		provide your e-mail address, this will b	e the primary way we contact you**				
2.	Name and Address of Applicar	nt if not the property owner: $\overline{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{E}$	TERY A. GOLDMAN, ERCO.				
	,	NLLP 2 STATE ST. R.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
,	Telephone Number of Applican	t: <u>585-987-2800</u>	,				
1	iax# 580-454-3968	E-Mail Address: Joold	men@woodsovicett.com				
		rovide your e-mail address, this will be					
3. \$	Subject Property Address: 52	265 Menteth Drive					
Nearest Road Intersection: Wells Curtis Road/West Lake Road							
,	'ax Map Number: <u>140.1(~1</u>	1-25.000 Zoning	District: RLD				
ł. I	Is the subject property within 500' of a State or County Road or Town Boundary? (If yes, the						
T	own may be required to refer ye	our application to the Ontario Co	ounty Planning Board.)				
	Please circle one:	YES NO					
	Is the subject property within 500' of an Agricultural District? (If yes, an Agricultural Data Statement must be completed and submitted with this application – for use variance applications only.) Please circle one: YES NO						
4	voudo dii did diid.	110					

	<u>Property Owner</u> is responsible for any consultant fees* (Town Engineer, Town Attorney, etc.) incurred during the application process.				
10.	If the variance requested is related to signs, attach a Sign Detail Sheet, a site plan, and colored renderings of the proposed signage, and any other documentation required in Article IX (Sign Regulations) of the Town of Canandaigua Zoning Law.				
9.	With your completed application for an Interpretation, attach a current survey map/site plan of the subject parcel with a detailed description of the proposed use, a statement as to why you are appealing the zoning law determination, and a copy of the zoning law determination of which said appeal is requested.				
8.	With your completed application for a Use Variance, attach a current survey map/site plan of the subject parcel with a detailed description of the proposed use, a statement as to why you feel this use variance is necessary, and a completed Environmental Assessment Form.				
	All maps, surveys, or site plans shall accurately depict the property including all existing and proposed structures, setbacks, and dimensions. <i>All dimensions must be precise</i> .				
7. 6	With your completed application for an Area Variance, attach a tape map/survey/site plan, elevation of the proposed structure, and other documentation necessary describing the requested variance(s) illustrating why it is practically difficult for you to conform to the Zoning Law.				
	LETTER OF INTENT FOR DESCRIPTION OF INTERPRETATION REQUEST				
6.	What is your proposed new project and the variance(s) or interpretation requested? Removal of existing single-family dwelling and sheef and Construction of a new Engle-family dwelling. PLEASE Refer to annexed LETTER OR INTENT FOR DESCRIPTION OF INTERPRETATION REQUEST				

I have examined this application and declare that it is true, correct, and complete. I understand that my application and all supporting documentation will be examined by the Zoning Board of Appeals as an integral component of deliberations.

I hereby grant my designee permission to represent me during the application process.

(Signature of Property Owner)

3/3(6/3)
(Date)

NA

700 Crossroads Building 2 State Street, Rochester, New York 14614

P 585,987,2800

F 585.454.3968

Writer's Direct Dial Number: 585.445.2726 Writer's Direct Fax Number: 585.445.2626 Email: jgoldman@woodsoviatt.com Admitted to practice in New York and Florida

1900 Main Place Tower Buffalo, New York 14202

P 716.248.3200 F 716.854.5100

March 26, 2018

ATTORNEYS

woodsoviatt.com

Town of Canandaigua Zoning Board of Appeals 5540 Routes 5 & 20 West Canandaigua, New York 14424

> Re: **Application for Interpretation**

> > On Appeal from Determination CPN-2018-018 of the Code Enforcement Officer, dated 3.19.2018

LETTER OF INTENT

Dear Zoning Board Members:

On behalf of property owners Joel M. Reiser and Nancy E. Hyman ("Applicants"), we submit herewith a completed Zoning Board of Appeals Interpretation Application form, together with supporting documents, by which we seek to appeal the determination of Christopher Jensen, Town Code Enforcement Officer, dated March 19, 2018, and to obtain an interpretation reversing the Code Enforcement Officer's determination regarding the following:

Property Address:

5265 Menteth Drive

Tax Map Number:

140.11-1-25.000

Zoning District:

RLD

The Applicants proposed removal of an existing single-family dwelling and shed and construction of a new single-family dwelling with detached garage and associated appurtenances. In 2013, certain variances were granted by this Board, under Project 046-13, dated July 23, 2013, and Project 073-13, dated November 22, 2013. Recent submissions by the Applicants by which minor modifications to the plans were made, but which are not related to the variances, resulted in the determination by the Code Enforcement Officer that the variances granted in 2013 are no longer applicable to the project. Mr. Jensen's determination as to each of the variances listed was:

> As the Zoning Board of Appeals decisions were conditioned on the plans and information provided with Project #073-13, the variances are specific to that project and do not apply to this new application.

The same statement of the basis for his determination is repeated for each of the other three sets pf variances, one more under Project #073-13, and two under Project 046-13.

We submit herewith a copy of the Zoning Law Determination, dated March 19, 2018, by Christopher Jensen, Code Enforcement Officer of the Town of Canandaigua. The main point raised by Mr. Jensen is that the variances were "conditioned" on the designated plans, which were reviewed by the Zoning Board. In fact, the decisions of the Zoning Board of Appeals granting the variances do not reference the plans. There is no language even suggesting that they were conditioned on the plans. Instead, the decisions recite that the "...Board's decision is based on the information received..." (Emphasis added) on the various dates relevant to each decision. Neither the word "conditions" nor the word "plans" appears in the decisions granting the variances.

Neither state law, nor the Town Code of Canandaigua prescribes any authority to cancel variances once granted, provided that conditions established by the ZBA at the time of the grant of a variance must be met. The Court of Appeals, in *Tohr Industries Corp. v. Zoning Board of Appeals of City of Long Beach*, 74 NY2d 575 (1989), held that a zoning board had no authority to revoke variances previously granted without evidence that conditions originally imposed had not been met or had been violated. The current situation in Canandaigua is similar. Variances were granted. There was no evidence of the failure of the Applicants to meet conditions originally imposed, or violation of any conditions (the applicant has submitted plans that conform to the variances granted in 2013). There appears to be no legal basis for Mr. Jensen's determination that the variances no longer apply.

It should be noted that, it is our understanding, as a result of the well-established case law and the Town's apparent desire to tie variances to the plans submitted, the Town Zoning Board of Appeals, subsequent to the decisions made with regard to this property in 2013, has now implemented as a standard condition that variances are specifically applicable only to the plans submitted to the Zoning Board of Appeals. No such condition appears on the subject approvals.

Accordingly, we request that the determination of the Code Enforcement Officer, dated March 19, 2018, be reversed and that the Zoning Board of Appeals determine that the variances granted in 2013 are still in full force and effect.

Very Truly Yours,

WOODS OVIATT GILMAN LLP

Jerry A. Goldman

Please direct responses to Rochester Office

JAG/grs

INTERPRETATION NARATIVE

BE VERY SPECIFIC IN YOUR NARATIVE

"Interpretation" shall mean the authorization by the Zoning Board of Appeals to overturn the enforcement officer's determination for the use of land in a manner which is not allowed by the dimensional or physical requirements of the applicable zoning regulations.

To enable the Zoning Board of Appeals to overturn the Zoning Officer's determination, the applicant must present substantial evidence why the determination should be overturned.							
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	the state of the second st						
			*****	*			

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		M. Martine			
•							
							
		7777					

		***************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
——————————————————————————————————————				PH-10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1			
			M. C.				
		And the second s					

Form: Z-002D.wpd 12/7/05