Ontario County Planning Board

Jaylene Folkins, Chair Tim Marks, Vice Chair

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW REFERRAL

The application described herein has been reviewed using an administrative review process established by the Ontario County Board of Supervisors (Resolution 540-2006). The subsequent official recommendation is derived from policies established by the Ontario County Planning Board. Recommendations for referrals not subject to administrative review can be found in the draft minutes from the respective CPB full board meeting.

| Referral No: | Referring Municipality & Agency: | | Date Received: | CPB Meeting Date: | |
|---------------------------|--|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 158 - 2016 158.1-2016 | Town of Canandaigua - Planning Board | | 09/27/2016 | 10/12/2016 | |
| Type of Application: | | Administrative R | Administrative Review: | | |
| Site Plan & Area Variance | | Class: AR-1 | | | |
| 2. Applicant: | | | | | |
| Venezia & Assoc | iates | | | | |
| 3. Property Owi | ner (if different from the applicant): | | | | |
| Robert Papenfus | s | | | | |
| 4. Tax Map #: | Project Description: | | | | |
| 113.17-1-16.000 | Site Plan and Area Variance approval request to construct a new deck, house addition, detached garage and driveway. Variance needed for front yard set back related to the garage Project is located at 4113 Onnalinda Dr. in the Town of Canandaigua. | | | | |

Policy AR-5: Applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations.

The intent of this policy is to:

- Address residential development that may infringe on County ROW's or easements for roads and other infrastructure.
- Address traffic safety along intermunicipal corridors by encouraging proper placement of residential driveways along County roads.
- Address impacts to ground and surface waters

C - All other applications subject to policy AR-5.

Final Classification: Class 1

Findings:

- As of 2005 69% of the parcels in Ontario County were classified as one or two family residential. Between 2000 and 2005, 2,018 residential parcels were added to the County's tax rolls (Ontario Co. RPTS Annual Report)
- 2. Collectively individual residential developments have significant impacts on surface and ground water.
- 3. Proper storm water and erosion control is also needed to achieve that same end.
- These issues can be addressed by consulting appropriate agencies during local review and ensuring that those standards are met.
- 5. The applicant and referring agency are strongly encouraged to involve Ontario County Soil and Water Conservation District as early in the review process as possible to ensure proper design and placement of onsite sentic.
- The applicant and referring agency are strongly encouraged to involve Canandaigua Lake Watershed Manager
 as early in the review process as possible to ensure proper design and implementation of storm water and
 erosion control measures.

Final Recommendation - The CPB will make no formal recommendation to deny or approve.

Canandaigua Lake Watershed Association Comments:

• Comments from the Canandaigua Watershed manager should be received by the referring board prior to taking an action.

OCDPW Comments:

- 1. The project description provided with the CPB agenda differs from the site plan which shows a proposed addition to an existing house, new deck, and construction of a detached garage and driveway.
- 2. A sewer renovation permit is required.
- 3. The Canandaigua Lake County Sewer District provided written comments to the applicant in a letter dated 10/3/2016. Plan revisions and resubmission are requested.

FOR -

Thomas Harvey, Director

Ontario County Planning Department

Administrative Reviews

The Ontario County Planning Department prepares administrative reviews of referrals as authorized, in accordance with the CPB bylaws. The bylaws include criteria that identify applications that are to be reviewed administratively and specify the applicable recommendations that are to be made to the municipality. AR-1 is an administrative review that is a Class 1 and AR2 is a review as a Class 2 and require local board action if disapproved. The following table summarizes the administrative review policies specified in the bylaws.

| Administrative Review | v Policies:— Ontario County Planning Board By-Laws Appendix D | |
|------------------------|---|--|
| AR-1 | Any submitted application clearly exempted from CPB review requirements by intermunicipal agreement | |
| AR-2 | Applications that are withdrawn by the referring agency | |
| AR-3 | Permit renewals with no proposed changes | |
| AR-4 | Use of existing facilities for a permitted use with no expansion of the building or paved area (Applications that include specially permitted uses or the addition of drive through service will require full Board review) | |
| AR-5 A. Class 2 Denial | Applications involving one single-family residential site infringing on County owned property, easement or right-of-way. | |
| AR-5 B. | Applications involving one single-family residential site adjoining a lake that requires an area variance | |
| AR-5 C. | All other applications involving a site plan for one single-family residence. | |
| AR-6 | Single-family residential subdivisions under five lots. | |
| AR-7 A. Class 2 Denial | Variances for signs along major designated travel corridors. | |
| AR-7 B. | Applications involving conforming signs along major travel corridors. | |
| AR-8 | Co-location of telecommunications equipment and accessory structures on existing tower and sites (Applications for new towers or increasing the height of an existing tower will require full Board review) | |