# Ontario County Planning Board

David Wink, Chair Len Wildman, Vice Chair

#### ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW REFERRAL

The application described herein has been reviewed using an administrative review process established by the Ontario County Board of Supervisors (Resolution 540-2006). The subsequent official recommendation is derived from policies established by the Ontario County Planning Board. Recommendations for referrals not subject to administrative review can be found in the draft minutes from the respective CPB full board meeting.

Referral No:	Referring Municipality & Agency:		Date Received:	CPB Meeting Date:	
235 – 2017, 235.1-2017. 235.2-2017	Town of Canandaigua - Town Board		11/27/2017	12/13/2017	
Type of Application:		Administrative Review:			
Area Variance, special use permit, sign permit		Class: AR 2			
2. Applicant:					
Phillips Snyder/E	nterprise Car Rental: SNORC				
<b>3. Property Ow</b> r Richard Spurr	ner (if different from the applicant):				
4 = 14 "	Project Description:				
<b>4. Tax Map #:</b> 70.11-1-9.100	Area variance, special use permit, and sign permit for roof and ground mounted signs at Enterprise Car Rental 2552 SR 322 in the Town of Canandaigua.				
Referral Recomm	nendation: Denial				

## Policy AR-7: Signs

The County Planning Board has long taken an interest in supporting local efforts to limit excessive signage. The Board has identified SR 332 as a primary travel corridor for tourists visiting Ontario County: The intent is to protect the character of development along these corridors by encouraging local boards to adhere to their adopted laws as much as possible.

A. All applications for signs located on property adjoining primary travel corridors that do not comply with local limits on size and or number.

Final classification: Class 2

## Findings:

- 1. The proposed sign is on land along a corridor identified by the Board as being a primary travel corridor for tourists visiting Ontario County.
- 2. Protection of the community character along these corridors is an issue of countywide importance.
- 3. Local legislators have standards for signage that allows for business identification sufficient to safely direct customers onto the specified site.
- 4. It is the position of this Board that the proposed signage is excessive.
- 5. Excessive signage has a negative impact on community character.

## Comments:

The size of the second sign in not less than 50 percent of the conforming first sign as required by Town Code.

Final Recommendation - Denial	12-12-17	
Thomas Harvey, Director	Date	
Ontario County Planning Department		

## **Administrative Reviews**

The Ontario County Planning Department prepares administrative reviews of referrals as authorized, in accordance with the CPB bylaws. The bylaws include criteria that identify applications that are to be reviewed administratively and specify the applicable recommendations that are to be made to the municipality. AR-1 is an administrative review that is a Class 1 and AR2 is a review as a Class 2 and require local board action if disapproved. The following table summarizes the administrative review policies specified in the bylaws.

Administrative Review	v Policies:– Ontario County Planning Board By-Laws Appendix D		
AR-1	Any submitted application clearly exempted from CPB review requirements by intermunicipal agreement		
AR-2	Applications that are withdrawn by the referring agency		
AR-3	Permit renewals with no proposed changes		
AR-4	Use of existing facilities for a permitted use with no expansion of the building or paved area (Applications that include specially permitted uses or the addition of drive through service will require full Board review)		
AR-5 A. Class 2 Denial	Applications involving one single-family residential site infringing on County owned property, easement or right-of-way.		
AR-5 B.	Applications involving one single-family residential site adjoining a lake that requires an area variance		
AR-5 C.	All other applications involving a site plan for one single-family residence.		
AR-6	Single-family residential subdivisions under five lots.		
AR-7 A. Class 2 Denial	A. Class 2 Denial Variances for signs along major designated travel corridors.		
AR-7 B.	Applications involving conforming signs along major travel corridors.		
AR-8	Co-location of telecommunications equipment and accessory structures on existing tower and sites (Applications for new towers or increasing the height of an existing tower will require full Board review)		