Town of Canandaigua

5440 Routes 5 & 20 West Canandaigua, NY 14424

Phone: (585) 394-1120 / Fax: (585) 394-9476

	111	CX/
CPN#		901

Applicant Name:	Wildcat Renewa	CH SUBDIVISION (ables, LLC	CHECKLIST	
Applicant Address:	879 Sanchez S	treet, San Francisco, CA 941	14	
Applicant Phone Num	ber: 415-7	710-3834		
~ ~		5575 State Route 5 ar	nd 20	
Subject Property(ies)				
	-	are footage) of parcel(s) to	be subdivided?	N/A
B. What are the ex	a separate sheet of		nd/or square footage) (note additional lot 4.
	ct width of road for paper)?	rontage for <u>each</u> proposed		
		s such and shall identify:		Survey Plat
(1) Existing general (2) Existing and pro		nt including buildings and	pavement	/
(2) Existing and pro (3) Existing and pro	posed developme posed lots with lo	t dimensions and areas;	nosed has not	8
(2) Existing and pro (3) Existing and pro (4) Proposed land us	posed developme posed lots with lo	t dimensions and areas;		6
(2) Existing and pro (3) Existing and pro (4) Proposed land us (5) Utilities; (6) Location and n	posed developme posed lots with lo se(s) and zoning; ature of all exis	ting easements, deed res	trictions and other	<i>y y y y y y y y y y</i>
(2) Existing and pro (3) Existing and pro (4) Proposed land us (5) Utilities; (6) Location and mencumbrances; (7) Environmentally	posed developme posed lots with lo se(s) and zoning; ature of all exis	ting easements, deed rest identified on the NRI.	trictions and other	<i>y y y y y y y y y y</i>
(2) Existing and pro (3) Existing and pro (4) Proposed land us (5) Utilities; (6) Location and n encumbrances; (7) Environmentally 3. It is the responsibility	posed developme posed lots with lo se(s) and zoning; ature of all exis sensitive features of the applicant to	ting easements, deed rest identified on the NRI. to provide a sketch plan tha	trictions and other	0
(2) Existing and pro (3) Existing and pro (4) Proposed land us (5) Utilities; (6) Location and nencumbrances; (7) Environmentally and viable proposal C. Planning Board mer disapprove the sketch plan review she board, nor shall tapproval of a derivar	ature of all existence of the applicant to for subdivision are the plan. Comment all not be interpreted	ting easements, deed rest identified on the NRI.	trictions and other to depicts a reasoned at of the lot(s). The lot approve or and members during all or disapproval by	0
(2) Existing and pro (3) Existing and pro (4) Proposed land us (5) Utilities; (6) Location and nencumbrances; (7) Environmentally 3. It is the responsibility and viable proposal c. Planning Board mer disapprove the sketch sketch plan review slathe Board, nor shall tapproval of a derivation of the requirements:	posed developme posed lots with lote(s) and zoning; ature of all existence of the applicant to for subdivision are mall not be interpreted tive plan.	ting easements, deed rest identified on the NRI. to provide a sketch plan that ad subsequent developments to modifications to, but slats made by individual Boateted as constituting approvided in the scope of any statements.	trictions and other the lot(s). The lot(s). The lot approve or red members during all or disapproval by absequent review or	0
(2) Existing and pro (3) Existing and pro (4) Proposed land us (5) Utilities; (6) Location and mencumbrances; (7) Environmentally 3. It is the responsibility and viable proposal C. Planning Board mendisapprove the sketch plan review shall the Board, nor shall the approval of a derivation of the Requirements: Date, north points.	posed developme posed lots with lot se(s) and zoning; ature of all existence of the applicant to for subdivision are mbers may suggest he plan. Comment hall not be interpreted tive plan.	ting easements, deed rest identified on the NRI. To provide a sketch plan that and subsequent developments to modifications to, but sless made by individual Boateted as constituting approver	trictions and other the lot(s). The lot(s). The lot approve or red members during all or disapproval by absequent review or	0
(2) Existing and pro (3) Existing and pro (4) Proposed land us (5) Utilities; (6) Location and nencumbrances; (7) Environmentally B. It is the responsibility and viable proposal C. Planning Board mer disapprove the sketch plan review state board, nor shall tapproval of a derivation of the Requirements: Date, north poin hundred (100) for the second control of the second co	posed developme posed lots with lose(s) and zoning; ature of all exises sensitive features of the applicant to for subdivision are notes may suggest he plan. Comment hall not be interpreted tive plan. In and scale. The set to the inch.	ting easements, deed restricting easements, deed restrictions to the NRI. To provide a sketch plan that disubsequent developments to the modifications to, but slats made by individual Boateted as constituting approval to limit the scope of any state of plan shall be at a scale of	trictions and other trictions and other t depicts a reasoned to f the lot(s). nall not approve or and members during all or disapproval by absequent review or f no more than one	0